

EXTENSIONS OF A VALUATION FROM K TO $K[x]$

JOSNEI NOVACOSKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give an introduction on how one can extend a valuation from a field K to the polynomial ring $K[x]$ in one variable over K . This follows a similar line as the one presented by the author in his talk at ALaNT 5. We will discuss the objects that have been introduced to describe such extensions. We will focus on key polynomials, pseudo-convergent sequences and minimal pairs. Key polynomials have been introduced and used by various authors in different ways. We discuss these works and the relation between them. We also discuss a recent version of key polynomials developed by Spivakovsky. This version provides some advantages that will be discussed in this paper. For instance, it allows us to relate key polynomials, in an explicit way, to pseudo-convergent sequences and minimal pairs. This paper also provides examples that illustrate these objects and their properties. Our main goal when studying key polynomials is to obtain more accurate results on the problem of local uniformization. This problem, which is still open in positive characteristic, was the main topic of the paper of the author and Spivakovsky in the proceedings of ALaNT 3.

1. INTRODUCTION

If ν_0 is a valuation on a field K , what are the possible extensions ν of ν_0 to $K[x]$? This question has been extensively studied and many objects have been introduced to describe such extensions. Three of the more relevant are key polynomials, pseudo-convergent sequences and minimal pairs. The main goal of this paper is to describe these objects and present the relation between them.

Throughout this paper, we will fix the following notations and assumptions:

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} K & \text{is a field,} \\ \overline{K} & \text{is a fixed algebraic closure of } K, \\ K[x] & \text{is the ring of polynomials with one indeterminate over } K, \\ \nu & \text{is a valuation on } K[x], \\ \mu & \text{is an extension of } \nu \text{ to } \overline{K}[x]. \end{array} \right.$$

We start by defining key polynomials. These objects were introduced by MacLane in [5] and refined by Vaquié in [9]. The definition that we present here is slightly

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A18.

Key words and phrases. Valuations, Key polynomials, Pseudo-convergent sequences, Minimal pairs.

During part of the realization of this project the author was supported by a research grant from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (process number 2017/17835-9).

different and is due to Spivakovsky. The basic properties of Spivakovsky's key polynomials were developed in [7] and will be summarized in Section 3. In Section 2 we will discuss the MacLane-Vaquié key polynomials and in Section 3 we discuss how they are related to Spivakovsky's key polynomials.

For a positive integer b and $f \in K[x]$ let $\partial_b f$ be the b -th **formal derivative** of f , i.e., $\partial_b f$ are the uniquely determined polynomials for which the Taylor expansion

$$f(x) - f(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{\deg(f)} \partial_i f(a)(x-a)^i,$$

is satisfied for every $a \in K$. An easy and useful formula for computing $\partial_b f$ is

$$\partial_b f = \frac{1}{b!} \frac{\partial^b}{\partial x^b}(f).$$

(Observe that the expression above makes sense even in positive characteristic because $b!$ divides the integer obtained by performing b times the derivative of f with respect to x). For a polynomial $f \in K[x]$ let

$$\epsilon(f) = \max_{b \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{\nu(f) - \nu(\partial_b f)}{b} \right\}.$$

A monic polynomial $Q \in K[x]$ is said to be a (Spivakovsky's) **key polynomial** for ν if for every $f \in K[x]$,

$$\epsilon(f) \geq \epsilon(Q) \implies \deg(f) \geq \deg(Q).$$

In [3], Kaplansky introduced the concept of pseudo-convergent sequences. For a valued field (K, ν) , a **pseudo-convergent sequence** is a well-ordered subset $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ of K , without last element, such that

$$\nu(a_\sigma - a_\rho) < \nu(a_\tau - a_\sigma) \text{ for all } \rho < \sigma < \tau < \lambda.$$

Let R be a ring with $K \subseteq R$ and consider an extension of ν to R , which we call again ν . An element $a \in R$ is said to be a limit of $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda} \subseteq K$ if for every $\rho < \lambda$ we have $\nu(a - a_\rho) = \nu(a_{\rho+1} - a_\rho)$.

One of the main goals of [7] is to compare key polynomials and pseudo-convergent sequences. These results are presented in Section 5.

Another theory that has been developed to study extensions of a given valuation to the ring of polynomials in one variable is the theory of minimal pairs of definition of a valuation (see [1]). A **minimal pair for ν** is a pair $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times \mu(\overline{K}[x])$ such that for every $b \in \overline{K}$

$$\mu(b - a) \geq \delta \implies [K(b) : K] \geq [K(a) : K].$$

If in addition,

$$\mu(x - a) = \delta \geq \mu(x - b)$$

for every $b \in \overline{K}$, then (a, δ) is called a **minimal pair of definition for ν** .

The main goal of [6] is to compare key polynomials and minimal pairs. These relations will be presented in Section 5.

For a valued field (K, ν) we denote by $K\nu$ the residue field and by νK the value group of ν , respectively. A valuation ν on $K[x]$ is called **valuation-algebraic** if $\nu(K(x))/\nu K$ is a torsion group and $K(x)\nu \mid K\nu$ is an algebraic extension. Otherwise, it is called **valuation-transcendental**. If ν is valuation-transcendental, then it is **residue-transcendental** if $K(x)\nu \mid K\nu$ is a transcendental extension and **value-transcendental** if $\nu(K(x))/\nu K$ is not a torsion group.

Given two polynomials $f, q \in K[x]$ with q monic, we call the **q -expansion of f** the expression

$$f(x) = f_0(x) + f_1(x)q(x) + \dots + f_n(x)q^n(x)$$

where for each i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, $f_i = 0$ or $\deg(f_i) < \deg(q)$. For a polynomial $q(x) \in K[x]$, the **q -truncation of ν** is defined as

$$\nu_q(f) := \min_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu(f_i q^i)\}$$

where $f = f_0 + f_1 q + \dots + f_n q^n$ is the q -expansion of f .

We point out that the original definition of minimal pairs, presented in [1], is slightly different than the one appearing here. The reason is because, with the original definition, one can prove that a valuation on $K[x]$ admits a pair of definition if and only if it is residue-transcendental. On the other hand, from the results in [7], one can prove that an extension admits a minimal pair of definition (as presented here) if and only if it is valuation-transcendental. Hence, with our definition we are considering all the valuations which are somehow simpler to handle (i.e., valuations for which the sequence of key polynomials has a last element). This result will follow from the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.3 of [6]). *A valuation ν on $K[x]$ is valuation-transcendental if and only if there exists a polynomial $q \in K[x]$ such that $\nu = \nu_q$.*

The theorem above can be seen as the version of Theorem 3.11 of [4] for key polynomials and truncations. In Section 3, we describe a *complete sequence of key polynomials* for ν . If \mathbf{Q} is such a sequence and Q is a largest element for it, then $\nu = \nu_Q$. Hence, we conclude from Theorem 1.1, that if \mathbf{Q} has a last element, then ν is valuation-transcendental.

This paper is divided as follows. In Section 2, we describe the theory of MacLane-Vaquíe key polynomials. In Section 3, we describe some of the most important properties of Spivakovsky's key polynomials. Also in Section 3, we describe the relation of MacLane-Vaquíe and Spivakovsky's key polynomials. In Section 4, we describe some of the main properties of pseudo-convergent sequences. Section 5 is devoted to present the comparison between these three objects. In Section 6, we present some examples that illustrate the theory.

2. KEY POLYNOMIALS

Take a commutative ring R and an ordered abelian group Γ . Take ∞ to be an element not in Γ and set Γ_∞ to be $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ with extensions of addition and order as usual (i.e., $\infty > \gamma$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\infty + \gamma = \infty$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$).

Definition 2.1. A **valuation on R** is a map $\nu : R \rightarrow \Gamma_\infty$ such that the following holds:

- (V1): $\nu(ab) = \nu(a) + \nu(b)$ for every $a, b \in R$,
- (V2): $\nu(a + b) \geq \min\{\nu(a), \nu(b)\}$ for every $a, b \in R$,
- (V3): $\nu(1) = 0$ and $\nu(0) = \infty$.

One can show that the **support of ν** , defined by $\text{supp}(\nu) := \{a \in R \mid \nu(a) = \infty\}$, is a prime ideal of R . Hence, if R is a field, then (V3) is equivalent to

$$\nu(x) = \infty \iff x = 0,$$

which is the usual assumption for valuations defined on a field.

If $R = K[x]$, then valuations on R describe all the valuations extending $\nu_0 = \nu|_K$ to simple extensions $K(a)$ of K . Indeed, if $\text{supp}(\nu)$ is the zero ideal, then ν extends in an obvious way to $K(x)$ where x is a transcendental element. If

$$\text{supp}(\nu) \neq (0),$$

then there exists $p(x) \in K[x]$ monic and irreducible such that $\text{supp}(\nu) = (p)$. Hence, ν defines a valuation on

$$K[x]/(p) = K(a)$$

for some element $a \in \overline{K}$ with minimal polynomial $p(x)$.

Let ν_0 be a valuation of K and ν a valuation of $K[x]$ extending ν_0 . If $\gamma_0 = \nu(x)$, we define

$$\nu_1(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_r x^r) = \min\{\nu_0(a_i) + i\gamma_0\}.$$

If $\nu = \nu_1$ we are done. If not, take a polynomial ϕ_1 of smallest degree such that

$$\gamma_1 := \nu(\phi_1) > \nu_1(\phi_1).$$

For each $f \in K[x]$, write $f = f_0 + f_1\phi_1 + \dots + f_r\phi_1^r$, with $\deg(f_i) < \deg(\phi_1)$ and define

$$\nu_2(f) = \min\{\nu_1(f_i) + i\gamma_1\}.$$

If $\nu = \nu_2$ we are done. Otherwise we continue the process.

Question 2.2. Can we construct a “sequence” of polynomials ϕ_i such that ν is the “limit” of the maps ν_i ?

Key polynomials were first introduced by MacLane in [5]. In order to define MacLane key polynomials, we will need to define the graded algebra associated to a valuation. Let R be a ring and ν a valuation on R . For every $\beta \in \nu R$, set

$$P_\beta := \{y \in R \mid \nu(y) \geq \beta\} \text{ and } P_\beta^+ := \{y \in R \mid \nu(y) > \beta\}.$$

The graded algebra of ν is defined as

$$\mathrm{gr}_\nu(\mathbb{R}) := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \nu\mathbb{R}} P_\beta / P_\beta^+.$$

For an element $y \in R$ we denote by $\mathrm{in}_\nu(y)$ the image of y in $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{in}_\nu(y) := y + P_{\nu(y)}^+ \in P_{\nu(y)} / P_{\nu(y)}^+ \subset \mathrm{gr}_\nu(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let K be a field and let ν be valuation on $K[x]$, the polynomial ring in one variable over K . Given $f, g \in K[x]$, we say that f is **ν -equivalent to g** (and denote by $f \sim_\nu g$) if $\mathrm{in}_\nu(f) = \mathrm{in}_\nu(g)$. Moreover, we say that g **ν -divides f** (denote by $g \mid_\nu f$) if there exists $h \in K[x]$ such that $f \sim_\nu g \cdot h$.

Definition 2.3. A monic polynomial $\phi \in K[x]$ is a **MacLane-Vaquié key polynomial for ν** if it is ν -irreducible (i.e., $\phi \mid_\nu f \cdot g \implies \phi \mid_\nu f$ or $\phi \mid_\nu g$) and if for every $f \in K[x]$ we have

$$\phi \mid_\nu f \implies \deg(f) \geq \deg(\phi).$$

Let ϕ be a key polynomial for ν , Γ' be a group extension of $\nu(K[x])$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ such that $\gamma > \nu(\phi)$. For every $f \in K[x]$, let

$$f = f_0 + f_1\phi + \dots + f_n\phi^n$$

be the ϕ -expansion of f . Define the map

$$\nu'(f) := \min_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu(f_i) + i\gamma\}.$$

Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 4.2 of [5]). *The map ν' is a valuation on $K[x]$.*

Definition 2.5. The map ν' is called an **augmented valuation** and denoted by

$$\nu' := [\nu; \nu'(\phi) = \gamma].$$

Given a valuation ν on K , a group Γ' containing νK and $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ we define the map

$$\nu_\gamma(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) := \min_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu(a_i) + i\gamma\}$$

Theorem 2.6 (Theorem 4.1 of [5]). *The map ν_γ is a valuation on $K[x]$.*

This valuation is called a **monomial valuation** and denoted by

$$\nu' := [\nu; \nu'(x) = \gamma].$$

Consider now the set \mathcal{V} of all valuations on $K[x]$ (extending a fixed valuation ν_0 on K). The theorems above give us an algorithm to build valuations on $K[x]$. Namely, take a group Γ_1 containing $\nu(K)$ and $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$. Set

$$\nu_1 := [\nu_0; \nu_1(x) = \gamma_1].$$

Now, let ϕ_1 be a key polynomial for ν_1 , Γ_2 an extension of Γ_1 and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ with $\gamma_2 > \nu_1(\phi_1)$. Set

$$\nu_2 := [\nu_1; \nu_2(\phi_1) = \gamma_2].$$

Proceeding iteratively, we build groups

$$\nu(K) \subseteq \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Gamma_n \subseteq \dots,$$

valuations

$$\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n, \dots \in \mathcal{V},$$

polynomials

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n, \dots \in K[x]$$

and $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ϕ_{i+1} is a key polynomial for ν_i and

$$\nu_{i+1} = [\nu_i; \nu_{i+1}(\phi_i) = \gamma_{i+1}].$$

Assume that we have constructed an infinite sequence as above. If for every $f \in K[x]$ there exists $n_f \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\nu_n(f) = \nu_{n_f}(f)$ for every $n \geq n_f$, we define

$$\nu_\infty(f) := \nu_{n_f}(f).$$

On the other hand, if $\Gamma_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for every polynomial $f \in K[x]$ the sequence $s := \{\nu_n(f)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a supremum, and since s is increasing we have

$$\nu_\infty(f) := \sup\{\nu_n(f)\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(f).$$

Observe that $\nu_\infty(f)$ can be ∞ , even if $f \neq 0$.

Theorem 2.7 (Theorem 6.2 of [5]). *The map ν_∞ is a valuation of $K[x]$.*

The valuation in the theorem above is called a **limit valuation** (and we write $\nu_\infty = \lim \nu_i$).

Consider now the subset \mathcal{V}^c of \mathcal{V} consisting of monomial, augmented and limit valuations (extending ν_0).

Question 2.8. Is it true that $\mathcal{V}^c = \mathcal{V}$? In other words, given any valuation $\nu \in \mathcal{V}$, does there exist a sequence of valuation $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n, \dots$ such that $\nu = \nu_i$ for some i or $\nu = \lim \nu_i$?

Let ν be any valuation on $K[x]$. We put $\nu_0 := \nu|_K$ and

$$\nu_1 = [\nu_0; \nu_1(x) = \nu(x)].$$

If $\nu = \nu_1$, then $\nu \in \mathcal{V}^c$ (because it is monomial). If not, then take $\phi_1 \in K[x]$, monic and of smallest degree among polynomials f satisfying $\nu_1(f) < \nu(f)$. One can prove that ϕ_1 is a key polynomial for ν_1 . Consider then the valuation

$$\nu_2 = [\nu_1; \nu_2(\phi_1) = \nu(\phi_1)].$$

If $\nu_2 = \nu$, then $\nu \in \mathcal{V}^c$ (because is an augmented valuation). If not, we choose $\phi_2 \in K[x]$ monic and of smallest degree among polynomials satisfying $\nu_2(f) < \nu(f)$. Again, one can prove that ϕ_2 is a key polynomial for ν_2 and consider

$$\nu_3 = [\nu_2; \nu_3(\phi_2) = \nu(\phi_2)].$$

We proceed iteratively until we find a valuation ν_n with $\nu_n = \nu$, or constructing an infinite sequence $\{\nu_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\nu_i \neq \nu$ and ν_{i+1} is an augmented valuation of ν_i . We have the following:

Theorem 2.9 (Theorem 8.1 of [5]). *If ν_0 is a discrete valuation of K of rank one, and the infinite sequence above has been constructed, then $\nu = \lim \nu_i$. In particular, if every valuation of K is discrete, then $\mathcal{V}^c = \mathcal{V}$.*

Remark 2.10. Observe that if ν_0 is discrete of rank one, then $\Gamma_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and we can always construct $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n$.

If ν_0 is not discrete, then \mathcal{V}^c does not have to be equal to \mathcal{V} (as will be shown in Section 6). This happens because we might need a sequence of key polynomials of order type greater than ω . In order to find a sequence of “augmented” valuations for a given valuation, Vaquié introduced “limit key valuations” (associated to *limit key polynomials*).

Vaquié’s idea was to continue the algorithm started above. If the produced sequence of valuations $\{\nu_n\}$ is not enough to define the valuation (i.e., if ν is not the “limit” of the sequence $\{\nu_n\}$), then we pick a polynomial of smallest degree for which the sequence $\{\nu_n(f)\}$ is bounded and not ultimately constant, and start the process over again (such polynomial will be a *limit key polynomial*). Since the degree of this new polynomial must be greater than the degree of the key polynomials appearing before, this process would eventually stop. In the next paragraphs, we present the formal definitions of *family of augmented iterated valuations*, which extend the notion of the sequence $\{\nu_n\}$ presented above.

More precisely, a family $\{\nu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of valuations of $K[x]$, indexed by a totally ordered set A , is called a **family of augmented iterated valuations** if for all α in A , except α the smallest element of A , there exists θ in A , $\theta < \alpha$, such that the valuation ν_α is an augmented valuation of the form $\nu_\alpha = [\nu_\theta; \nu_\alpha(\phi_\alpha) = \gamma_\alpha]$, and if we have the following properties:

- If α admits an immediate predecessor in A , θ is that predecessor, and in the case when θ is not the smallest element of A , the polynomials ϕ_α and ϕ_θ are not ν_θ -equivalent and satisfy $\deg(\phi_\theta) \leq \deg(\phi_\alpha)$;
- if α does not have an immediate predecessor in A , for all β in A such that $\theta < \beta < \alpha$, the valuations ν_β and ν_α are equal to the augmented valuations

$$\nu_\beta = [\nu_\theta; \nu_\beta(\phi_\beta) = \gamma_\beta] \text{ and } \nu_\alpha = [\nu_\beta; \nu_\alpha(\phi_\alpha) = \gamma_\alpha],$$

respectively, and the polynomials ϕ_α and ϕ_β have the same degree.

For $f, g \in K[x]$, we say that f **A -divides** g ($f \mid_A g$) if there exists $\alpha_0 \in A$ such that $f \mid_{\nu_\alpha} g$ for every $\alpha \in A$ with $\alpha > \alpha_0$. A polynomial ϕ is said to be **A -minimal** if for any polynomial $f \in K[x]$ if $\phi \mid_A f$, then $\deg(\phi) \leq \deg(f)$. Also, we say that ϕ is **A -irreducible** if for every $f, g \in K[x]$, if $\phi \mid_A f \cdot g$, then $\phi \mid_A f$ or $\phi \mid_A g$.

Definition 2.11. A monic polynomial ϕ of $K[x]$ is said to be a **MacLane-Vaquié limit key polynomial** for the family $\{\nu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ if it is A -minimal and A -irreducible.

Let $\{\nu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of iterated valuations of $K[x]$ and, for each $\alpha \in A$, denote the value group of ν_α by Γ_{ν_α} . Then

$$\Gamma_A := \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \Gamma_{\nu_\alpha}$$

is a totally ordered abelian group. For a polynomial $f \in K[x]$, the family $\{\nu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is said to be convergent for f if $\{\nu_\alpha(f)\}$ is unbounded in Γ_A or there exists $\alpha_f \in A$ such that $\nu_\alpha(f) = \nu_{\alpha_f}(f)$ for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_f$. If $\{\nu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is convergent for every $f \in K[x]$, we define

$$\lim_{\alpha \in A} \nu_\alpha(f) := \sup_{\alpha \in A} \nu_\alpha(f).$$

Observe that $\lim_{\alpha \in A} \nu_\alpha(f) = \infty$ if $\{\nu_\alpha(f)\}$ is unbounded and $\nu_{\alpha_f}(f)$, otherwise.

Theorem 2.12 (Théorème 2.4 of [9]). *Let ν be a valuation of $K[x]$ extending a valuation ν_0 of K . Then, there exists a family of iterated valuations $\{\nu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of $K[x]$, convergent for every $f \in K[x]$, such that*

$$\nu(f) = \lim_{\alpha \in A} \nu_\alpha(f).$$

Remark 2.13. Theorem 2.12 is a generalization of Theorem 2.9. The difference is that, if ν is not discrete, we might need a sequence of key polynomials with order type greater than ω .

3. SPIVAKOVSKY'S KEY POLYNOMIALS

We start this section by presenting a characterization of $\epsilon(f)$ in terms of the fixed extension μ of ν to $\overline{K}[x]$. For a monic polynomial $f \in K[x]$, we define

$$\delta(f) := \max\{\mu(x - a) \mid a \text{ is a root of } f\}.$$

Example 3.1. Let $f(x) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(f) &= (x - a_1)(x - a_2) + (x - a_1)(x - a_3) + (x - a_2)(x - a_3) \\ \partial_2(f) &= (x - a_1) + (x - a_2) + (x - a_3) \\ \partial_3(f) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Assume that $\mu(x - a_i) = i$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$\nu(f) = 6, \nu(\partial_1 f) = 3, \nu(\partial_2 f) = 1 \text{ and } \nu(\partial_3 f) = 0,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(f) &= \max\left\{\frac{\nu(f) - \nu(\partial_1 f)}{1}, \frac{\nu(f) - \nu(\partial_2 f)}{2}, \frac{\nu(f) - \nu(\partial_3 f)}{3}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{3, \frac{5}{2}, 2\right\} = 3 = \delta(f). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Assume that $\mu(x - a_1) = 1$ and $\mu(x - a_2) = \mu(x - a_3) = 2$, then

$$\nu(f) = 5, \nu(\partial_1 f) \geq 3, \nu(\partial_2 f) = 1 \text{ and } \nu(\partial_3 f) = 0,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(f) &= \max \left\{ \frac{\nu(f) - \nu(\partial_1 f)}{1}, \frac{\nu(f) - \nu(\partial_2 f)}{2}, \frac{\nu(f) - \nu(\partial_3 f)}{3} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ 2, 2, \frac{5}{3} \right\} = 2 = \delta(f).\end{aligned}$$

The examples above can be generalized to prove the following.

Proposition 3.2 (Proposition 3.1 of [6]). *Let $f \in K[x]$ be a monic polynomial. Then $\delta(f) = \epsilon(f)$.*

In particular, $\delta(f)$ does not depend on the choice of the extension μ of ν to $\overline{K}[x]$.

Let $q \in K[x]$ be any polynomial. Then ν_q does not need to be a valuation (Example 2.5 of [7]). The first important property of key polynomials is the following.

Proposition 3.3 (Proposition 2.6 of [7]). *If Q is a key polynomial, then ν_Q is a valuation.*

We observe that the converse of the above Proposition is not true, i.e., there exists a valuation ν on $K[x]$ and polynomial $q \in K[x]$ such that ν_q is a valuation, but q is not a key polynomial (Corollary 2.4 of [6]).

For a key polynomial $Q \in K[x]$, let

$$\alpha(Q) := \min\{\deg(f) \mid \nu_Q(f) < \nu(f)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Psi(Q) := \{f \in K[x] \mid f \text{ is monic, } \nu_Q(f) < \nu(f) \text{ and } \alpha(Q) = \deg(f)\}.$$

Theorem 3.4 (Theorem 2.12 of [7]). *A monic polynomial Q is a key polynomial if and only if there exists a key polynomial $Q_- \in K[x]$ such that either $Q \in \Psi(Q_-)$ or the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (K1): $\alpha(Q_-) = \deg(Q_-)$
- (K2): the set $\{\nu(Q') \mid Q' \in \Psi(Q_-)\}$ does not contain a maximal element
- (K3): $\nu_{Q'}(Q) < \nu(Q)$ for every $Q' \in \Psi(Q_-)$
- (K4): Q has the smallest degree among polynomials satisfying (K3).

Definition 3.5. A key polynomial Q is called a (Spivakovsky's) **limit key polynomial** if the conditions (K1) - (K4) of the theorem above are satisfied.

For a set $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq K[x]$ we denote by $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbf{Q}}$ the set of mappings $\lambda : \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda(q) = 0$ for all but finitely many $q \in \mathbf{Q}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbf{Q}}$ we denote

$$\mathbf{Q}^\lambda := \prod_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} q^{\lambda(q)} \in K[x].$$

Definition 3.6. A set $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq K[x]$ is called a complete set for ν if for every $p \in K[x]$ there exists $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that

$$(2) \quad \deg(q) \leq \deg(p) \text{ and } \nu(p) = \nu_q(p).$$

Proposition 3.7. *If $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq K[x]$ is a complete set for ν , then for every $p \in K[x]$ there exist $a_1, \dots, a_r \in K$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbf{Q}}$, such that*

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \mathbf{Q}^{\lambda_i} \text{ with } \nu(a_i \mathbf{Q}^{\lambda_i}) \geq \nu(p), \text{ for every } i, 1 \leq i \leq r,$$

and the elements $Q \in \mathbf{Q}$ appearing in the decomposition of p (i.e., for which $\lambda_i(Q) \neq 0$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$) have degree smaller or equal than $\deg(p)$. In particular, for every $\beta \in \nu(K[x])$, the additive group P_β is generated by the elements $a\mathbf{Q}^\lambda \in P_\beta$ where $a \in K$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Remark 3.8. The latter condition on the proposition above appears in [8] as the definition of *generating sequence*. The proof of Proposition 3.7 will appear in a forthcoming paper.

The next result gives us a converse for Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that \mathbf{Q} is a subset of $K[x]$ with the following properties:*

- ν_Q is a valuation for every $Q \in \mathbf{Q}$;
- for every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\nu_Q(Q') = \nu(Q')$ for every $Q' \in \mathcal{F}$;
- for every $p \in K[x]$ there exist $a_1, \dots, a_r \in K$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \mathbf{Q}^{\lambda_i} \text{ with } \nu(a_i \mathbf{Q}^{\lambda_i}) \geq \nu(p), \text{ for every } i, 1 \leq i \leq r,$$

and $\deg(Q) \leq \deg(p)$ for every $Q \in \mathbf{Q}$ for which $\lambda_i(Q) \neq 0$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$.

Then \mathbf{Q} is a complete set for ν .

Theorem 3.10 (Theorem 1.1 of [7]). *Let ν be a valuation on $K[x]$. Then there exists a set $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq K[x]$ of key polynomials, well-ordered (with the order $Q < Q'$ if and only if $\epsilon(Q) < \epsilon(Q')$), such that \mathbf{Q} is complete set for ν .*

Remark 3.11. The definition of *complete set of key polynomials* presented in [7] does not require that the degree of the polynomial Q for which $\nu_Q(p) = \nu(p)$ is smaller or equal than $\deg(p)$. This assumption is important and we use this opportunity to fix the definition presented there. The proof presented in [7], guarantees that this additional property is satisfied, hence the theorem above is still valid.

The relation between Spivakovsky's key polynomial and MacLane-Vaquié is given by the following.

Theorem 3.12 (Theorem 23 of [2]). *Let Q be a Spivakovsky's key polynomial for ν . Then Q is a MacLane-Vaquié key polynomial for ν_Q .*

We also have the following.

Theorem 3.13 (Theorem 26 of [2]). *Let Q and Q' be two Spivakovsky's key polynomials for ν such that $Q' \in \Psi(Q)$. Then Q' is a MacLane-Vaquié key polynomial for ν_Q .*

As for the converse, we have:

Theorem 3.14 (Corollary 29 of [2]). *Let Q be a MacLane-Vaquié key polynomial for ν and ν' a valuation of $K[x]$ for which $\nu'(Q) > \nu(Q)$ and $\nu'(f) = \nu(f)$ for every $f \in K[x]$ with $\deg(f) < \deg(Q)$. Then Q is a Spivakovsky's key polynomial for ν' .*

From now on, by key polynomial we will mean Spivakovsky's key polynomial, unless stated explicitly.

4. PSEUDO-CONVERGENT SEQUENCES

Let $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ be a pseudo-convergent sequence for (K, ν) . For every polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$, there exists $\rho_f < \lambda$ such that either

$$(3) \quad \nu(f(a_\sigma)) = \nu(f(a_{\rho_f})) \text{ for every } \rho_f \leq \sigma < \lambda,$$

or

$$(4) \quad \nu(f(a_\sigma)) > \nu(f(a_\rho)) \text{ for every } \rho_f \leq \rho < \sigma < \lambda.$$

Definition 4.1. A pseudo-convergent sequence $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ is said to be of **transcendental type** if for every polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$ the condition (3) holds. Otherwise, $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ is said to be of **algebraic type**.

The next two theorems justify the definitions of algebraic and transcendental pseudo-convergent sequences.

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 2 of [3]). *If $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ is a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type, without a limit in K , then there exists an immediate transcendental extension $K(z)$ of K defined by setting $\nu(f(z))$ to be the value $\nu(f(a_{\rho_f}))$ as in condition (3). Moreover, for every valuation μ in some extension $K(u)$ of K , if u is a pseudo-limit of $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$, then there exists a value preserving K -isomorphism from $K(u)$ to $K(z)$ taking u to z .*

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem 3 of [3]). *Let $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ be a pseudo-convergent sequence of algebraic type, without a limit in K , $q(x)$ a polynomial of smallest degree for which (4) holds and z a root of $q(x)$. Then there exists an immediate algebraic extension of K to $K(z)$ defined as follows: for every polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$, with $\deg f < \deg q$ we set $\nu(f(z))$ to be the value $\nu(f(a_{\rho_f}))$ as in condition (3). Moreover, if u is a root of $q(x)$ and μ is some extension $K(u)$ of K making u a pseudo-limit of $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$, then there exists a value preserving K -isomorphism from $K(u)$ to $K(z)$ taking u to z .*

5. COMPARISON RESULTS

In this section we describe explicitly the relation between key polynomials, pseudo-convergent sequences and minimal pairs.

Theorem 5.1 (Theorem 1.2 of [7]). *Let $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda} \subset K$ be a pseudo-convergent sequence, without a limit in K , for which x is a limit. If $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ is of transcendental type, then*

$$\mathbf{Q} := \{x - a_\rho \mid \rho < \lambda\}$$

is a complete set of key polynomials for ν . On the other hand, if $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ is of algebraic type, then every polynomial $q(x)$ of minimal degree among the polynomials not fixed by $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ is a limit key polynomial for ν .

The theorem above gives us a way to interpret pseudo-convergent sequences as key polynomials. The next theorem gives us a way to obtain the opposite relation.

Proposition 5.2 (Proposition 1.2 of [6]). *Let \mathbf{Q} be a complete sequence of key polynomials for ν , without last element. For each $Q \in \mathbf{Q}$, let $a_Q \in \overline{K}$ be a root of Q such that $\mu(x - a_Q) = \delta(Q)$. Then $\{a_Q\}_{Q \in \mathbf{Q}}$ is a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type, without a limit in \overline{K} , such that x is a limit for it.*

We also want to describe the relation between key polynomials and minimal pairs. The next result gives us such relation.

Theorem 5.3 (Theorem 1.1 of [6]). *Let $Q \in K[x]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial and choose a root a of Q such that $\mu(x - a) = \delta(Q)$. Then Q is a key polynomial for ν if and only if $(a, \delta(Q))$ is a minimal pair for ν . Moreover, $(a, \delta(Q))$ is a minimal pair of definition for ν if and only if $\nu = \nu_Q$.*

6. EXAMPLES

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ (e.g., $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$) and $K = k(y)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ the perfect hull of $k(y)$. We can consider the inclusion $K \subseteq k((y^\mathbb{Q}))$, and set ν_0 the valuation on K induced by the y -adic valuation on $k((y^\mathbb{Q}))$.

6.1. Construction of the first limit key polynomial. Let x be an indeterminate over K and extend ν_0 to $K[x]$ by setting

$$\nu_1(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) := \min_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \nu_0(a_i) - \frac{i}{p} \right\}.$$

In the MacLane-Vaquié's language, we have that ν_1 is the monomial valuation given by

$$\nu_1 = \left[\nu_0; \nu_1(x) = -\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

Consider the polynomial $\phi_\omega = x^p - x - y^{-1} \in K[x]$. Then

$$\nu_1(\phi_\omega) = \min \{ \nu_1(x^p), \nu_1(x), \nu_1(y^{-1}) \} = \min \left\{ -1, -\frac{1}{p}, -1 \right\} = -1.$$

One can show that $\phi_2 := x - y^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ is a (MacLane-Vaquié's) key polynomial for ν_1 and we consider the augmented valuation

$$\nu_2 := \left[\nu_1; \nu_2(\phi_2) = -\frac{1}{p^2} \right].$$

Writing $x = \phi_2 + y^{-\frac{1}{p}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\omega &= \left(\phi_2 + y^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p - \left(\phi_2 + y^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right) - y^{-1} \\ &= \phi_2^p + y^{-1} - \phi_2 - y^{-\frac{1}{p}} - y^{-1} \\ &= \phi_2^p - \phi_2 - y^{-\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nu_2(\phi_\omega) = \min \left\{ \nu_2(\phi_2^p), \nu_2(\phi_2), \nu_2 \left(y^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right) \right\} = \min \left\{ -\frac{1}{p}, -\frac{1}{p^2}, -\frac{1}{p} \right\} = -\frac{1}{p}.$$

Continuing the process, one can show that $\phi_3 := \phi_2 - y^{-\frac{1}{p^2}} = x - y^{-\frac{1}{p}} - y^{-\frac{1}{p^2}}$ is a key polynomial for ν_2 and define

$$\nu_3 := \left[\nu_2; \nu_3(\phi_3) = -\frac{1}{p^3} \right]$$

Putting $\phi_2 = \phi_3 + y^{-\frac{1}{p^2}}$ gives us that

$$\phi_\omega = \phi_3^p - \phi_3 - y^{-\frac{1}{p^2}} \text{ and } \nu_3(\phi_\omega) = -\frac{1}{p^2}.$$

We proceed on this manner, until we obtain a sequence of valuations $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for which

$$\phi_{n+1} = x - \sum_{i=0}^n y^{-\frac{1}{p^i}} \in K[x].$$

is a key polynomial for ν_n and

$$\nu_{n+1} := \left[\nu_n; \nu_{n+1}(\phi_{n+1}) = -\frac{1}{p^{n+1}} \right].$$

Analogously to what we did before, we have

$$(5) \quad \phi_\omega = \phi_{n+1}^p - \phi_{n+1} - y^{-\frac{1}{p^n}} \text{ and } \nu_{n+1}(\phi_\omega) = -\frac{1}{p^n}.$$

Setting $a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n y^{-\frac{1}{p^i}}$ we have that $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq K$ is a pseudo-convergent sequence for ν_0 . Indeed, for $m > n$ and $r, s > 0$ we have

$$\nu_0(a_{m+r} - a_m) = \nu_0 \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+r} y^{-\frac{1}{p^i}} \right) = -\frac{1}{p^{m+1}} > -\frac{1}{p^{n+1}} = \nu_0(a_{n+s} - a_n).$$

Let \overline{K} be the algebraic closure of K inside $k((y^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ and denote ν_0 the y -adic valuation on \overline{K} . Then $\eta := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y^{-\frac{1}{p^i}} \in \overline{K}$, because it is a root of ϕ_ω . It is immediate from the definition that η is a limit for $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence, any other limit of $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ should be of the form $\eta + \eta'$ with $\nu_0(\eta') > 0$ and hence cannot belong

to K . Now, since $\phi_{n+1} = x - a_n$, we have that $\phi_{n+1}(a_n) = 0$ and consequently by (5), we have

$$\nu_0(\phi_\omega(a_n)) = \nu_0\left(\phi_{n+1}^p(a_n) - \phi_{n+1}(a_n) - y^{-\frac{1}{p^n}}\right) = \nu_0\left(-y^{-\frac{1}{p^n}}\right) = -\frac{1}{p^n}.$$

Therefore the value of ϕ_ω is not fixed by $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

We claim that ϕ_ω is a polynomial of smallest degree whose value is not fixed by $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Indeed, it follows from [3] that such degree must be a power p . Since $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not have a limit in K , such degree must be greater or equal to p . Since $\deg(\phi_\omega) = p$, we conclude that ϕ_ω has the smallest degree possible.

Remark 6.1. If ν is a valuation on $K[x]$ such that x is a limit for $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then by Theorem 5.1, ϕ_ω is a limit key polynomial for ν .

Remark 6.2 (Valuations as in Remark 6.1 do exist). Fix $\eta' \in k((y^{\mathbb{Q}})) \setminus K$. Since $k((y^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ is algebraically closed, we can define a valuation on $K[x]$ by setting

$$(6) \quad \nu_{\eta'}(a_n x^n + \dots + a_0) := \nu_0(a_n) + \sum_{i=1}^r \nu_y(\eta' - \eta_i) \text{ where } a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 = a_n \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \eta_i).$$

In particular, $\nu_{\eta'}(x - a) := \nu_y(\eta' - a)$ for each $a \in K$.

The valuations as in Remark 6.1 are the valuations $\nu_{\eta'}$ where

$$\eta' = \eta + \eta'' \text{ with } \text{supp}(\eta'') \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if η'' is transcendental over K , then $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a “pseudo-convergent sequence of algebraic type with a transcendental pseudo-limit” (because η' is a limit of it).

Claim 6.3. *The sequence $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an augmented sequence of valuations on $K[x]$ and ϕ_ω is a limit key polynomial for $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.*

6.2. Construction of the second limit key polynomial. Now take $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $\gamma \geq 0$. Since $0 > -\frac{1}{p^n} = \nu_n(\phi_\omega)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can consider the valuation

$$(7) \quad \nu_\omega := [\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \nu_\omega(\phi_\omega) = \gamma].$$

Remark 6.4. If $\gamma = \infty$, then ν_ω induces a valuation on $K(\eta) = K[x]/(\phi_\omega)$ which is exactly the valuation given on Theorem 4.3. In this case, the pseudo-convergent sequence $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be thought of as a “pseudo-convergent sequence of algebraic type with an algebraic limit” (because in this case η is the only limit for it).

So far, we have constructed an example where the sequence of key polynomials of order type ω “is not enough to construct the valuation”. In terms of pseudo-convergent sequences, this means that the pseudo-convergent sequence is of algebraic type. We will now continue the construction, starting from the valuation ν_ω defined by the limit key polynomial ϕ_ω .

Set $\gamma = 0$ and let $\phi_{2\omega} := \phi_\omega^p - y\phi_\omega - 1$. Then

$$\nu_\omega(\phi_{2\omega}) = \min\{\nu_\omega(\phi_\omega^p), \nu_\omega(y\phi_\omega), \nu_\omega(1)\} = \min\{0, 1, 0\} = 0.$$

One can show that $\phi_{\omega+1} = \phi_{\omega} - 1$ is a key polynomial for ν_{ω} and we can define the valuation

$$\nu_{\omega+1} := \left[\nu_{\omega}; \nu_{\omega+1}(\phi_{\omega+1}) = \frac{1}{p} \right].$$

Since $\phi_{\omega} = \phi_{\omega+1} + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{2\omega} &= (\phi_{\omega+1} + 1)^p - y(\phi_{\omega+1} + 1) - 1 \\ &= \phi_{\omega+1}^p + 1 - y\phi_{\omega+1} - y - 1 \\ &= \phi_{\omega+1}^p - y\phi_{\omega+1} - y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nu_{\omega+1}(\phi_{2\omega}) = \min \{ \nu_{\omega+1}(\phi_{\omega+1}^p), \nu_{\omega+1}(y\phi_{\omega+1}), \nu_0(y) \} = 1.$$

One can prove that

$$\phi_{\omega+2} := \phi_{\omega+1} - y^{\frac{1}{p}} = \phi_{\omega} - 1 - y^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a key polynomial for $\nu_{\omega+1}$. We set

$$\nu_{\omega+2} := \left[\nu_{\omega+1}; \nu_{\omega+2}(\phi_{\omega+2}) = \frac{1+p}{p^2} \right].$$

Then

$$\phi_{2\omega} = \left(\phi_{\omega+2} + y^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p - y \left(\phi_{\omega+2} + y^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) - y = \phi_{\omega+2}^p - y\phi_{\omega+2} - y^{\frac{1+p}{p}}$$

and consequently,

$$\nu_{\omega+2}(\phi_{2\omega}) = \min \left\{ \nu_{\omega+2}(\phi_{\omega+2}^p), \nu_{\omega+2}(y\phi_{\omega+2}), \nu_0 \left(y^{\frac{1+p}{p}} \right) \right\} = \frac{1+p}{p}.$$

We can construct a sequence of valuations $\{\nu_{\omega+n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\phi_{\omega+n} = \phi_{\omega+n-1} - y^{\frac{1+\dots+p^{n-1}}{p^n}} = \phi_{\omega} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n y^{\frac{1+\dots+p^{i-1}}{p^i}} \in K[x]$$

is a key polynomial for $\nu_{\omega+n-1}$ and

$$\nu_{\omega+n} := \left[\nu_{\omega+n-1}; \nu_{\omega+n}(\phi_{\omega+n}) = \frac{1+\dots+p^{n-1}}{p^n} \right].$$

Also,

$$\phi_{2\omega} = \phi_{\omega+n}^p - y\phi_{\omega+n} - y^{\frac{1+\dots+p^{n-1}}{p^{n-1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\omega+n}(\phi_{2\omega}) = \frac{1+\dots+p^{n-1}}{p^{n-1}}.$$

Remark 6.5. The sequence $\{\nu_{\omega+n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an augmented sequence of valuations (in the Vaquié's language) and

$$\nu_{\omega+n}(\phi_{2\omega}) > \nu_{\omega+m}(\phi_{2\omega}) \text{ se } n > m.$$

If ν is a valuation of $K[x]$ such that

$$(8) \quad \nu(\phi_i) = \nu_i(\phi_i) \text{ for every } i, 0 \leq i < 2\omega,$$

then one can show that $\phi_{2\omega}$ is a limit key polynomial for ν (because $\deg(\phi_{2\omega}) = p^2 = p \deg(\phi_{\omega+n})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and from the conditions **(K1)** - **(K4)**). Moreover, for each i , $0 \leq i < 2\omega$, the polynomial ϕ_i is a key polynomial for ν and $\nu_{\phi_i} = \nu_i$.

Remark 6.6 (Existence of valuations as in Remark 6.5). Observe that if

$$p(X) = X^p - X - a_0 - a_1 - \dots - a_n \in K[X], \quad a_0, \dots, a_n \in K$$

is an Artin-Schreier polynomial, then all the roots of p are

$$\eta_0 + \dots + \eta_n + j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq p-1, \quad \text{where } \eta_i \text{ is a root of } X^p - X - \eta_i.$$

Let $\eta_1 \in k$ a root of $X^p - X - 1$. Then $\eta + \eta_1 + j, 0 \leq j \leq p-1$ are all the roots of $\phi_{\omega+1}$. On the other hand, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ we have that

$$\theta_\alpha := - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y^{p^i \alpha} \in k((t^{\mathbb{Q}})) \text{ is a root of } X^p - X - y^\alpha.$$

Hence, if for $n > 1$ we set $\eta_n := \theta_{\frac{1+\dots+p^{n-1}}{p^n}}$, then

$$\eta + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n + j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq p-1, \quad \text{are all the roots of } \phi_{\omega+n}.$$

Take

$$\eta' = \eta + \eta_1 + y^{\frac{1}{p}} + \dots + y^{\frac{1+\dots+p^{n-1}}{p^n}} + \dots = \eta + \eta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y^{\frac{1+\dots+p^{i-1}}{p^i}},$$

then one can see that the valuation $\nu_{\eta'}$ of $K[x]$ (as in (6)) has the property (8) of Remark 6.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu and A. Zaharescu, *A theorem of characterization of residual transcendental extensions of a valuation*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **28** (1988), 579–592.
- [2] J. Decaup, W. Mahboub and M. Spivakovsky, *Abstract key polynomials and comparison theorems with the key polynomials of Mac Lane – Vaquié*, preprint arXiv:1611.06392.
- [3] I. Kaplansky, *Maximal fields with valuations I*, Duke Math. Journ. **9** (1942), 303–321.
- [4] F.-V. Kuhlmann, *Value groups, residue fields and bad places of rational function fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 4559–4600.
- [5] S. MacLane, *A construction for absolute values in polynomial rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 363 – 395.
- [6] J. Novacoski, *Key polynomials and minimal pairs*, J. Algebra **523** (2019), 1–14.
- [7] J. Novacoski and M. Spivakovsky, *Key polynomials and pseudo-convergent sequences*, J. Algebra **495** (2018), 199–219.
- [8] M. Spivakovsky, *Valuations in function fields of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **112** no. 1 (1990), 107–156.
- [9] M. Vaquié, *Extension d'une valuation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 7, 3439–3481.

JOSNEI NOVACOSKI

Departamento de Matemática–UFSCar

Rodovia Washington Luís, 235

13565-905 - São Carlos - SP

Email: josnei@dm.ufscar.br