

# On Brauer $p$ -dimensions and index-exponent relations over finitely-generated field extensions\*

I.D. Chipchakov

*Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Acad. G. Bonchev Str., bl. 8  
1113, Sofia, Bulgaria; email: chipchak@math.bas.bg*

August 1, 2014

## Abstract

Let  $E$  be a field of absolute Brauer dimension  $\text{abrd}(E)$ , and  $F/E$  a transcendental finitely-generated extension. This paper shows that the Brauer dimension  $\text{Brd}(F)$  is infinite, if  $\text{abrd}(E) = \infty$ . When the absolute Brauer  $p$ -dimension  $\text{abrd}_p(E)$  is infinite, for some prime number  $p$ , it proves that for each pair  $(n, m)$  of integers with  $n \geq m > 0$ , there is a central division  $F$ -algebra of Schur index  $p^n$  and exponent  $p^m$ . Lower bounds on the Brauer  $p$ -dimension  $\text{Brd}_p(F)$  are obtained in some important special cases where  $\text{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ . These results solve negatively a problem posed by Auel, Brussel, Garibaldi and Vishne in Transform. Groups **16**, 219-264 (2011).

*Keywords:* Brauer group, Schur index, exponent, Brauer/absolute Brauer  $p$ -dimension, finitely-generated extension, valued field

*MSC (2010):* 16K20, 16K50 (primary); 12F20, 12J10, 16K40 (secondary).

## 1 Introduction

Let  $E$  be a field,  $s(E)$  the class of finite-dimensional associative central simple  $E$ -algebras,  $d(E)$  the subclass of division algebras  $D \in s(E)$ , and for each  $A \in s(E)$ , let  $[A]$  be the equivalence class of  $A$  in the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(E)$ . It is known that  $\text{Br}(E)$  is an abelian torsion group (cf. [35], Sect. 14.4), whence it decomposes into the direct sum of its  $p$ -components  $\text{Br}(E)_p$ , where  $p$  runs across the set  $\mathbb{P}$  of prime numbers. By Wedderburn's structure theorem (see, e.g., [35], Sect. 3.5), each  $A \in s(E)$  is isomorphic to the full matrix ring  $M_n(D_A)$  of order  $n$  over some  $D_A \in d(E)$ ; the order  $n$  is uniquely determined by  $A$  and so is  $D_A$ , up-to an  $E$ -isomorphism. This implies the dimension  $[A: E]$  is a square of a positive integer  $\deg(A)$ . The main numerical invariants of  $A$  are the degree

---

\*Throughout this paper, we write for brevity "FG-extension(s)" instead of "finitely-generated [field] extension(s)".

$\deg(A)$ , the Schur index  $\text{ind}(A) = \deg(D_A)$ , and the exponent  $\exp(A)$ , i.e. the order of  $[A]$  in  $\text{Br}(E)$ . The following statements describe basic divisibility relations between  $\text{ind}(A)$  and  $\exp(A)$ , and give an idea of their behaviour under the scalar extension map  $\text{Br}(E) \rightarrow \text{Br}(R)$ , in case  $R/E$  is a field extension of finite degree  $[R: E]$  (see, e.g., [35], Sects. 13.4, 14.4 and 15.2, and [5], Lemma 3.5):

(1.1) (a)  $(\text{ind}(A), \exp(A))$  is a Brauer pair, i.e.  $\exp(A)$  divides  $\text{ind}(A)$  and is divisible by every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  dividing  $\text{ind}(A)$ .

(b)  $\text{ind}(A \otimes_E B)$  is divisible by  $\text{l.c.m.}\{\text{ind}(A), \text{ind}(B)\}/\text{g.c.d.}\{\text{ind}(A), \text{ind}(B)\}$  and divides  $\text{ind}(A)\text{ind}(B)$ , for each  $B \in s(E)$ ; in particular, if  $A, B \in d(E)$  and  $\text{g.c.d.}\{\text{ind}(A), \text{ind}(B)\} = 1$ , then the tensor product  $A \otimes_E B$  lies in  $d(E)$ .

(c)  $\text{ind}(A)$ ,  $\text{ind}(A \otimes_E R)$ ,  $\exp(A)$  and  $\exp(A \otimes_E R)$  divide  $\text{ind}(A \otimes_E R)[R: E]$ ,  $\text{ind}(A)$ ,  $\exp(A \otimes_E R)[R: E]$  and  $\exp(A)$ , respectively.

Statements (1.1) (a), (b) imply Brauer's Primary Tensor Product Decomposition Theorem, for any  $\Delta \in d(E)$  (cf. [35], Sect. 14.4), and (1.1) (a) fully describes general restrictions on index-exponent relations, in the following sense:

(1.2) Given a Brauer pair  $(m', m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , there is a field  $F$  with  $(\text{ind}(D), \exp(D)) = (m', m)$ , for some  $D \in d(F)$  (Brauer, see [35], Sect. 19.6). One may take as  $F$  any rational (i.e. purely transcendental) extension in infinitely many variables over any fixed field  $F_0$  (see also Corollary 4.4 and Remark 4.5).

As in [2], Sect. 4, we say that a field  $E$  is of finite Brauer  $p$ -dimension  $\text{Brd}_p(E) = n$ , for a fixed  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , if  $n$  is the least integer  $\geq 0$ , for which  $\text{ind}(D) \leq \exp(D)^n$  whenever  $D \in d(E)$  and  $[D] \in \text{Br}(E)_p$ . If no such  $n$  exists, we set  $\text{Brd}_p(E) = \infty$ . The absolute Brauer  $p$ -dimension of  $E$  is defined as the supremum  $\text{abrd}_p(E) = \sup\{\text{Brd}_p(R) : R \in \text{Fe}(E)\}$ , where  $\text{Fe}(E)$  is the set of finite extensions of  $E$  in a separable closure  $E_{\text{sep}}$ . Clearly,  $\text{Brd}_p(E) \leq \text{abrd}_p(E)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Note that if  $E$  is a virtually perfect field, i.e.  $\text{char}(E) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(E) = q > 0$  and  $E$  is a finite extension of its subfield  $E^q = \{e^q : e \in E\}$ , then:

(1.3)  $\text{Brd}_p(E') \leq \text{abrd}_p(E)$ , for all finite extensions  $E'/E$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

Since in the case of  $\text{char}(E) = q > 0$ ,  $[E' : E'^q] = [E : E^q]$  (cf. [24], Ch. VII, Sect. 7), (1.3) can be deduced from (1.1) (c) and Albert's theory of  $q$ -algebras [1], Ch. VII, Theorem 28 (see also Lemma 4.1).

It is known that  $\text{Brd}_p(E) = \text{abrd}_p(E) = 1$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , if  $E$  is a global or local field (cf. [36], (31.4) and (32.19)), or the function field of an algebraic surface defined over an algebraically closed field  $E_0$  [20], [25] (see also Remark 5.8). As shown in [28], we have  $\text{abrd}_p(E) < p^{n-1}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , provided that  $E$  is the function field of an  $n$ -dimensional algebraic variety defined over an algebraically closed field  $E_0$ . Similarly,  $\text{abrd}_p(E) < p^n$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , if  $E_0$  is a finite field, the maximal unramified extension of a local field, or a perfect pseudo algebraically closed (PAC) field (concerning the  $C_1$ -type of  $E_0$ , used in [28] for proving these inequalities, see [23] and [22], [16], Theorem 21.3.6, respectively). The suprema  $\text{Brd}(E) = \sup\{\text{Brd}_p(E) : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  and  $\text{abrd}(E) = \sup\{\text{Brd}(R) : R \in \text{Fe}(E)\}$  are called a Brauer dimension and an absolute Brauer dimension of  $E$ , respectively. In view of (1.1), the definition of  $\text{Brd}(E)$  is the same as the one given in [2], Sect. 4. It has recently been proved [17], [34] (see also [9], Propositions 6.1 and 7.1), that  $\text{abrd}(K_m) < \infty$ , provided  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(K_m, v_m)$  is an  $m$ -dimensional local field, in the sense of [15], with a finite  $m$ -th residue field  $\widehat{K}_m$ .

The present research is devoted to the study of index-exponent relations over transcendental FG-extensions  $F$  of a field  $E$  and their dependence on  $\text{abrd}_p(E)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . It is motivated mainly by two questions concerning the dependence of  $\text{Brd}(F)$  upon  $\text{Brd}(E)$ , stated as open problems in Section 4 of the survey [2].

## 2 The main results

While the study of index-exponent relations makes interest in its own right, it is worth noting that fields  $E$  with  $\text{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , are singled out by Galois cohomology (see [21] and [8], Remark 4.2, with further references there), and in the virtually perfect case, by the following result (see (1.3), [4] and [5]):

- (2.1) Every locally finite dimensional associative central division  $E$ -algebra  $R$  possesses an  $E$ -subalgebra  $\tilde{R}$  with the following properties:
- (a)  $\tilde{R}$  decomposes into a tensor product  $\otimes_{p \in \mathbb{P}} R_p$ , where  $\otimes = \otimes_E$ ,  $R_p \in d(E)$  and  $[R_p] \in \text{Br}(E)_p$ , for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ;
  - (b) Finite-dimensional  $E$ -subalgebras of  $R$  are embeddable in  $\tilde{R}$ ;
  - (c)  $\tilde{R}$  is isomorphic to  $R$ , if the dimension  $[R: E]$  is countably infinite.

It would be of definite interest to know whether function fields of algebraic varieties over a global, local or algebraically closed field are of finite absolute Brauer dimensions. This draws our attention to the following open question:

- (2.2) Is the class of fields  $E$  of finite absolute Brauer  $p$ -dimensions, for a fixed  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ , closed under the formation of FG-extensions?

The main result of this paper shows, for a transcendental FG-extension  $F/E$ , the strong influence of  $p$ -dimensions  $\text{abrd}_p(E)$  on  $\text{Brd}_p(F)$ , and on index-exponent relations over  $F$ , as follows:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $F/E$  an FG-extension of transcendency degree  $\text{trd}(F/E) = \kappa \geq 1$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq \text{abrd}_p(E) + \kappa - 1$ , if  $\text{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$  and  $F/E$  is rational;
- (b) If  $\text{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$ , then  $\text{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$  and for each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq m > 0$ , there exists  $D_{n,m} \in d(F)$  with  $\text{ind}(D_{n,m}) = p^n$  and  $\text{exp}(D_{n,m}) = p^m$ ;
- (c)  $\text{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$ , provided  $p = \text{char}(E)$  and  $[E: E^p] = \infty$ ; if  $\text{char}(E) = p$  and  $[E: E^p] = p^\nu < \infty$ , then  $\nu + \kappa - 1 \leq \text{Brd}_p(F) \leq \text{abrd}_p(F) \leq \nu + \kappa$ .

It is known (cf. [24], Ch. X) that each FG-extension  $F$  of a field  $E$  possesses a subfield  $F_0$  that is rational over  $E$  with  $\text{trd}(F_0/E) = \text{trd}(F/E)$ . This ensures that  $[F: F_0] < \infty$ , so (1.1) and Theorem 2.1 imply the following:

- (2.3) If (2.2) has an affirmative answer, for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ , and each FG-extension  $F/E$  with  $\text{trd}(F/E) = \kappa \geq 1$ , then there exists  $c_\kappa(p) \in \mathbb{N}$ , depending on  $E$ , such that  $\text{Brd}_p(\Phi) \leq c_\kappa(p)$  whenever  $\Phi/E$  is an FG-extension and  $\text{trd}(\Phi/E) < \kappa$ . For example, this applies to  $c_k(p) = \text{Brd}_p(E_\kappa)$ , where  $E_\kappa/E$  is a rational FG-extension with  $\text{trd}(E_\kappa/E) = \kappa$ .

The application of Theorem 2.1 is facilitated by the following result of [8] (see Example 6.2 below, for an alternative proof in characteristic zero):

**Proposition 2.2.** *For each  $q \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a field  $E_{q,k}$  with  $\text{char}(E_{q,k}) = q$ ,  $\text{Brd}(E_{q,k}) = k$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(E_{q,k}) = \infty$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus P_q$ , where  $P_0 = \{2\}$  and  $P_q = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : p \mid q(q-1)\}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{P}$ . Moreover, if  $q > 0$ , then  $E_{q,k}$  can be chosen so that  $[E_{q,k} : E_{q,k}^q] = \infty$ .*

Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and statement (1.1) (b) imply the following:

(2.4) There exist fields  $E_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\text{char}(E_k) = 2$ ,  $\text{Brd}(E_k) = k$  and all Brauer pairs  $(m', n') \in \mathbb{N}^2$  are index-exponent pairs over any transcendental FG-extension of  $E_k$ .

It is not known whether (2.4) holds in any characteristic  $q \neq 2$ . This is closely related to the following open problem:

(2.5) Find whether there exists a field  $E$  containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, for a given  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , such that  $\text{Brd}_p(E) < \text{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$ .

Statement (1.1) (b), Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 imply the validity of (2.4) in zero characteristic, for Brauer pairs of odd positive integers. When  $q > 2$ , they show that if  $[E_{q,k} : E_{q,k}^q] = \infty$ , then Brauer pairs  $(m', m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  relatively prime to  $q-1$  are index-exponent pairs over every transcendental FG-extension of  $E_{q,k}$ . This solves in the negative [2], Problem 4.4, proving (in the strongest presently known form) that the class of fields of finite Brauer dimensions is not closed under the formation of FG-extensions.

Theorem 2.1 (a) makes it easy to prove that the solution to [2], Problem 4.5, on the existence of a "good" definition of a dimension  $\dim(E) < \infty$ , for some fields  $E$ , is negative whenever  $\text{abrd}(E) = \infty$  (see Corollary 5.4). It implies that if Problem 4.5 of [2] is solved affirmatively, for all FG-extensions  $F/E$ , then each  $F$  satisfies, for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , the following stronger inequalities than those conjectured by (2.3) (see also Remark 5.5 and [2], Sect. 4):

(2.6)  $\text{Brd}(F) < \dim(F)$ ,  $\text{abrd}(F) \leq \dim(F)$  and  $\text{abrd}(F) \leq \text{Brd}(E_{t+1}) \leq \text{abrd}(E) + t + c(E)$ , for some integer  $c(E) \leq \dim(E) - \text{abrd}(E)$ , where  $t = \text{trd}(F/E)$ ,  $E_{t+1}/E$  is a rational extension and  $\text{trd}(E_{t+1}/E) = t + 1$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on Merkur'ev's theorem about central division algebras of prime exponent [30], Sect. 4, Theorem 2, and on a characterization of fields of finite absolute Brauer  $p$ -dimensions generalizing Albert's theorem [1], Ch. XI, Theorem 3. It strongly relies on results of valuation theory, like theorems of Grunwald-Hasse-Wang type, Morandi's theorem on tensor products of valued division algebras [32], Theorem 1, lifting theorems over Henselian (valued) fields and Ostrowski's theorem. As shown in [8], Sect. 6, the flexibility of this approach enables one to obtain the following results:

(2.7) (a) There exists a field  $E_1$  with  $\text{abrd}(E_1) = \infty$ ,  $\text{abrd}_p(E_1) < \infty$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and  $\text{Brd}(L_1) < \infty$ , for every finite extension  $L_1/E_1$ ;

(b) For any integer  $n \geq 2$ , there is a Galois extension  $L_n/E_n$ , such that  $[L_n : E_n] = n$ ,  $\text{Brd}_p(L_n) = \infty$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , and  $\text{Brd}(M_n) < \infty$ , provided that  $M_n$  is an extension of  $E$  in  $L_{n,\text{sep}}$  not including  $L_n$ .

Our basic notation and terminology are standard, as used in [6]. For any field  $K$  with a Krull valuation  $v$ , unless stated otherwise, we denote by  $O_v(K)$ ,  $\widehat{K}$  and  $v(K)$  the valuation ring, the residue field and the value group of  $(K, v)$ ,

respectively;  $v(K)$  is supposed to be an additively written totally ordered abelian group. As usual,  $\mathbb{Z}$  stands for the additive group of integers,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , are the additive groups of  $p$ -adic integers, and  $[r]$  is the integral part of any real number  $r \geq 0$ . We write  $I(\Lambda'/\Lambda)$  for the set of intermediate fields of a field extension  $\Lambda'/\Lambda$ , and  $\text{Br}(\Lambda'/\Lambda)$  for the relative Brauer group of  $\Lambda'/\Lambda$ . By a  $\Lambda$ -valuation of  $\Lambda'$ , we mean a Krull valuation  $v$  with  $v(\lambda) = 0$ , for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda^*$ . Given a field  $E$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $E(p)$  denotes the maximal  $p$ -extension of  $E$  in  $E_{\text{sep}}$ , and  $r_p(E)$  the rank of the Galois group  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  as a pro- $p$ -group ( $r_p(E) = 0$ , if  $E(p) = E$ ). Brauer groups are considered to be additively written, Galois groups are viewed as profinite with respect to the Krull topology, and by a homomorphism of profinite groups, we mean a continuous one. We refer the reader to [14], [19], [24], [35] and [40], for any missing definitions concerning valuation theory, field extensions, simple algebras, Brauer groups and Galois cohomology.

The rest of the paper proceeds as follows: Section 3 includes preliminaries used in the sequel. Theorem 2.1 is proved in Sections 4 and 5. In Section 6 we show that the answer to (2.2) will be affirmative, if this is the case in zero characteristic.

### 3 Preliminaries on valuation theory

The results of this Section are known and will often be used without an explicit reference. We begin with a lemma essentially due to Saltman [37].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(K, v)$  be a height 1 valued field,  $K_v$  a Henselization of  $K$  in  $K_{\text{sep}}$  relative to  $v$ , and  $\Delta_v \in d(K_v)$  an algebra of exponent  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then there exists  $\Delta \in d(K)$  with  $\exp(\Delta) = p$  and  $[\Delta \otimes_K K_v] = [\Delta_v]$ .*

*Proof.* By [30], Sect. 4, Theorem 2,  $\Delta_v$  is Brauer equivalent to a tensor product of degree  $p$  algebras from  $d(K_v)$ , so one may consider only the case of  $\deg(\Delta_v) = p$ . Then, by Saltman's theorem (cf. [37]), there exists  $\Delta \in d(K)$ , such that  $\deg(\Delta) = p$  and  $\Delta \otimes_K K_v$  is  $K_v$ -isomorphic to  $\Delta_v$ , which proves Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

In what follows, we shall use the fact that the Henselization  $K_v$  of a field  $K$  with a valuation  $v$  of height 1 is separably closed in the completion of  $K$  relative to the topology induced by  $v$  (cf. [14], Theorem 15.3.5 and Sect. 18.3). For example, our next lemma is a consequence of Galois theory, this fact and Lorenz-Roquette's valuation-theoretic generalization of Grunwald-Wang's theorem (cf. [24], Ch. VIII, Theorem 4, and [27], page 176 and Theorems 1 and 2).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $F$  be a field,  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  a finite set of non-equivalent height 1 valuations of  $F$ , and for each index  $j$ , let  $F_{v_j}$  be a Henselization of  $K$  in  $K_{\text{sep}}$  relative to  $v_j$ , and  $L_j/F_{v_j}$  a cyclic field extension of degree  $p^{\mu_j}$ , for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $\mu = \max\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s\}$ , and in the case of  $p = 2$  and  $\text{char}(F) = 0$ , suppose that the extension  $F(\delta_\mu)/F$  is cyclic, where  $\delta_\mu \in F_{\text{sep}}$  is a primitive  $2^\mu$ -th root of unity. Then there is a cyclic field extension  $L/F$  of degree  $p^\mu$ , whose Henselization  $L_{v'_j}$  is  $F_{v_j}$ -isomorphic to  $L_j$ , where  $v'_j$  is a valuation of  $L$  extending  $v_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ .*

Assume that  $K = K_v$ , or equivalently, that  $(K, v)$  is a Henselian field, i.e.  $v$  is a Krull valuation on  $K$ , which extends uniquely, up-to an equivalence, to a valuation  $v_L$  on each algebraic extension  $L/K$ . Put  $v(L) = v_L(L)$  and denote by  $\widehat{L}$  the residue field of  $(L, v_L)$ . It is known that  $\widehat{L}/\widehat{K}$  is an algebraic extension and  $v(K)$  is a subgroup of  $v(L)$ . When  $[L: K]$  is finite, Ostrowski's theorem states the following (cf. [14], Theorem 17.2.1):

(3.1)  $[\widehat{L}: \widehat{K}]e(L/K)$  divides  $[L: K]$  and  $[L: K][\widehat{L}: \widehat{K}]^{-1}e(L/K)^{-1}$  is not divisible by any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  different from  $\text{char}(\widehat{K})$ ,  $e(L/K)$  being the index of  $v(K)$  in  $v(L)$ ; in particular, if  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) \nmid [L: K]$ , then  $[L: K] = [\widehat{L}: \widehat{K}]e(L/K)$ .

Statement (3.1) and the Henselity of  $v$  imply the following:

(3.2) The quotient groups  $v(K)/pv(K)$  and  $v(L)/pv(L)$  are isomorphic, if  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $L/K$  is a finite extension. When  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) \nmid [L: K]$ , the natural embedding of  $K$  into  $L$  induces canonically an isomorphism  $v(K)/pv(K) \cong v(L)/pv(L)$ .

A finite extension  $R/K$  is said to be defectless, if  $[R: K] = [\widehat{R}: \widehat{K}]e(R/K)$ . It is called inertial, if  $[R: K] = [\widehat{R}: \widehat{K}]$  and  $\widehat{R}$  is separable over  $\widehat{K}$ . We say that  $R/K$  is totally ramified, if  $[R: K] = e(R/K)$ ;  $R/K$  is called tamely ramified, if  $\widehat{R}/\widehat{K}$  is separable and  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) \nmid e(R/K)$ . The Henselity of  $v$  ensures that the compositum  $K_{\text{ur}}$  of inertial extensions of  $K$  in  $K_{\text{sep}}$  has the following properties:

(3.3) (a)  $v(K_{\text{ur}}) = v(K)$  and finite extensions of  $K$  in  $K_{\text{ur}}$  are inertial;  
(b)  $K_{\text{ur}}/K$  is a Galois extension,  $\widehat{K}_{\text{ur}} \cong \widehat{K}_{\text{sep}}$  over  $\widehat{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(K_{\text{ur}}/K) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}$ , and the natural mapping of  $I(K_{\text{ur}}/K)$  into  $I(\widehat{K}_{\text{sep}}/\widehat{K})$  is bijective.

Recall that the compositum  $K_{\text{tr}}$  of tamely ramified extensions of  $K$  in  $K_{\text{sep}}$  is a Galois extension of  $K$  with  $v(K_{\text{tr}}) = pv(K_{\text{tr}})$ , for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  not equal to  $\text{char}(\widehat{K})$ . It is therefore clear from (3.1) that if  $K_{\text{tr}} \neq K_{\text{sep}}$ , then  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) = q \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\text{tr}}}$  is a pro- $q$ -group. When this holds, it follows from (3.3) and Galois cohomology (cf. [40], Ch. II, 2.2) that  $\text{cd}_q(\mathcal{G}(K_{\text{tr}}/K)) \leq 1$ . Hence, by [40], Ch. I, Proposition 16, there is a closed subgroup  $\mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{G}_K$ , such that  $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\text{tr}}}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}_K$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\text{tr}}} \cap \mathcal{H} = \{1\}$  and  $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{G}(K_{\text{tr}}/K)$ . In view of Galois theory and the Mel'nikov-Tavgen' theorem [29], these results imply in the case of  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) = q > 0$  the existence of a field  $K' \in I(K_{\text{sep}}/K)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(3.4)  $K' \cap K_{\text{tr}} = K$ ,  $K'K_{\text{tr}} = K_{\text{sep}}$  and  $K_{\text{sep}} \cong K_{\text{tr}} \otimes_K K'$  over  $K$ ; the field  $\widehat{K}'$  is a perfect closure of  $\widehat{K}$ , finite extensions of  $K$  in  $K'$  are of  $q$ -primary degrees,  $K_{\text{sep}} = K'_{\text{tr}}$ ,  $v(K') = qv(K')$ , and the natural embedding of  $K$  into  $K'$  induces isomorphisms  $v(K)/pv(K) \cong v(K')/pv(K')$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{q\}$ .

Assume as above that  $(K, v)$  is Henselian. Then each  $\Delta \in d(K)$  has a unique, up-to an equivalence, valuation  $v_\Delta$  extending  $v$  so that the value group  $v(\Delta)$  of  $(\Delta, v_\Delta)$  is totally ordered and abelian (cf. [39], Ch. 2, Sect. 7). It is known that  $v(K)$  is a subgroup of  $v(\Delta)$  of index  $e(\Delta/K) \leq [\Delta: K]$ , and the residue division ring  $\widehat{\Delta}$  of  $(\Delta, v_\Delta)$  is a  $\widehat{K}$ -algebra. Moreover, by the Ostrowski-Draxl theorem [11],  $[\Delta: K]$  is divisible by  $e(\Delta/K)[\widehat{\Delta}: \widehat{K}]$ , and in case  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) \nmid [\Delta: K]$ ,  $[\Delta: K] = e(\Delta/K)[\widehat{\Delta}: \widehat{K}]$ . An algebra  $D \in d(K)$  is called inertial, if  $[D: K] = [\widehat{D}: \widehat{K}]$  and  $\widehat{D} \in d(\widehat{K})$ . Similarly to inertial extensions, the defined algebras have a lifting property described by the following result (see [19], Theorem 2.8):

(3.5) (a) Each  $\tilde{D} \in d(\hat{K})$  has an inertial lift over  $K$ , i.e.  $\tilde{D} = \hat{D}$ , for some  $D \in d(K)$  inertial over  $K$ , that is uniquely determined by  $\tilde{D}$ , up-to a  $K$ -isomorphism.

(b) The set  $\text{IBr}(K) = \{[I] \in \text{Br}(K) : I \in d(K) \text{ is inertial}\}$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Br}(K)$ ; the canonical mapping  $\text{IBr}(K) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\hat{K})$  is an isomorphism.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.1 (a) and (c)

The role of Lemma 3.1 in the study of Brauer  $p$ -dimensions of FG-extensions of a field  $E$  is connected with the following result of [8], which characterizes the condition  $\text{abrd}_p(E) \leq \mu$ , for a given  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ . When  $E$  is virtually perfect, by (1.3), this result is in fact equivalent to [34], Lemma 1.1, and in case  $\mu = 1$ , it restates Theorem 3 of [1], Ch. XI.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{abrd}_p(E) \leq \mu$  if and only if, for each  $E' \in \text{Fe}(E)$ ,  $\text{ind}(\Delta) \leq p^\mu$  whenever  $\Delta \in d(E')$  and  $\exp(\Delta) = p$ .*

Let now  $F/E$  be a transcendental FG-extension and  $F_0 \in I(F/E)$  a rational extension of  $E$  with  $\text{trd}(F_0/E) = \text{trd}(F/E) = t$ . Clearly, an ordering on a fixed transcendence basis of  $F_0/E$  gives rise to a height  $t$   $E$ -valuation  $v_0$  of  $F_0$  with  $v_0(F_0) = \mathbb{Z}^t$  and  $\hat{F}_0 = E$ . Considering any prolongation of  $v_0$  on  $F$ , and taking into account that  $[F : F_0] < \infty$ , one obtains the following:

(4.1)  $F$  has an  $E$ -valuation  $v$  of height  $t$ , such that  $v(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}^t$  and  $\hat{F}$  is a finite extension of  $E$ ; in particular,  $v(F)/pv(F)$  is a group of order  $p^t$ , for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

When  $\text{char}(E) = p$ , (4.1) implies  $[\hat{F} : \hat{F}^p] = [E : E^p]$ , so the former assertion of Theorem 2.1 (c) can be deduced from the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $(K, v)$  be a valued field with  $\text{char}(K) = q > 0$  and  $v(K) \neq qv(K)$ , and let  $\tau(q)$  be the dimension of  $v(K)/qv(K)$  as a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  elements. Then:*

(a) *For each  $\pi \in K^*$  with  $v(\pi) \notin qv(K)$ , there are degree  $q$  extensions  $L_m$  of  $K$  in  $K(q)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that the compositum  $M_m = L_1 \dots L_m$  has a unique valuation  $v_m$  extending  $v$ , up-to an equivalence,  $(M_m, v_m)/(K, v)$  is totally ramified,  $[M_m : K] = q^m$  and  $v(\pi) \in q^m v_m(M_m)$ , for each  $m$ ;*

(b) *Given an integer  $n \geq 2$ , there exists  $T_n \in d(K)$  with  $\exp(T_n) = q$  and  $\text{ind}(T_n) = q^{n-1}$  except, possibly, if  $\tau(q) < \infty$  and  $[\hat{K} : \hat{K}^q] < q^{n-\tau(q)}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the special case of  $v(\pi) < 0$ . Fix a Henselization  $(K_v, \bar{v})$  of  $(K, v)$ , put  $\rho(K_v) = \{u^q - u : u \in K_v\}$ , and for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $L_m$  the root field in  $K_{\text{sep}}$  over  $K$  of the polynomial  $f_m(X) = X^q - X - \pi_m$ , where  $\pi_m = \pi^{1+qm}$ . Also, let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the prime subfield of  $K$ ,  $\Phi = \mathbb{F}(\pi)$ ,  $\omega$  the valuation of  $\Phi$  induced by  $v$ , and  $(\Phi_\omega, \bar{\omega})$  a Henselization of  $(\Phi, \omega)$ , such that  $\Phi_\omega \subseteq K_v$  and  $\bar{v}$  extends  $\bar{\omega}$  (the existence of  $(\Phi_\omega, \bar{\omega})$  follows from [14], Theorem 15.3.5). Identifying  $K_v$  with its  $K$ -isomorphic copy in  $K_{\text{sep}}$ , put  $L'_m = L_m K_v$  and  $M'_m = M_m K_v$ , for every index  $m$ . It is easily verified that  $\rho(K_v)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -subspace of  $K_v$  and  $\bar{v}(u^q - u) \in q\bar{v}(K_v)$ , for every  $u \in K_v$  with  $\bar{v}(u) < 0$ . As  $\bar{v}(K_v) = v(K)$ , this

observation and the choice of  $\pi$  indicate that the cosets  $\pi_m + \rho(K_v)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}$ . In view of the Artin-Schreier theorem and Galois theory (cf. [24], Ch. VIII, Sect. 6), this implies  $f_m(X)$  is irreducible over  $K_v$ ,  $L'_m/K_v$  and  $L_m/K$  are cyclic extensions of degree  $q$ ,  $M'_m/K_v$  and  $M_m/K$  are abelian, and  $[M'_m : K_v] = [M_m : K] = q^m$ , for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, our argument proves that degree  $q$  extensions of  $K_v$  in the compositum of the fields  $L'_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , are cyclic and totally ramified over  $K_v$ . At the same time, it follows from the Henselity of  $\bar{v}$  and the equality  $\widehat{K}_v = \widehat{K}$  that  $M'_m$  contains as a subfield an inertial lift over  $K_v$  of the separable closure of  $\widehat{K}$  in  $\widehat{M}'_m$ . When  $v$  is discrete and  $\widehat{K}$  is perfect, the obtained results imply the assertions of Lemma 4.2 (a), since finite extensions of  $K_v$  in  $K_{\text{sep}}$  are defectless (relative to  $\bar{v}$ , see [24], Ch. XII, Sect. 6, Corollary 2).

To prove Lemma 4.2 (a) in general it remains to be seen that, for any fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M_m$  has a unique, up-to an equivalence, valuation  $v_m$  extending  $v$ ,  $(M_m, v_m)/(K, v)$  is totally ramified and  $v(\pi) \in q^m v(M_m)$ . The extendability of  $v$  to a valuation  $v_m$  of  $M_m$  is well-known (cf. [24], Ch. XII, Sect. 4), so our assertions can be deduced from the concluding one, the equality  $[M_m : K] = [M_m K_v : K_v] = q^m$  and statement (3.1). Our proof also relies on the fact that  $(\Phi, \omega)$  is a discrete valued field and  $\widehat{\Phi}/\mathbb{F}$  is a finite extension (see [3], Ch. II, Lemma 3.1, or [14], Example 4.1.3); in particular,  $\widehat{\Phi}$  is perfect. Let now  $\Psi_m \in I(K_{\text{sep}}/\Phi)$  be the root field of  $f_m(X)$  over  $\Phi$ . Then  $L_m = \Psi_m K$ ,  $[\Psi_m : \Phi] = q$ ,  $M_m = \Theta_m K$  and  $[\Theta_m : \Phi] = q^m$ , where  $\Theta_m = \Psi_1 \dots \Psi_m$ . Therefore,  $\Theta_m \Phi_\omega / \Phi_\omega$  is totally ramified relative to  $\bar{\omega}$ . Equivalently, the integral closure of  $O_\omega(\Phi)$  in  $\Theta_m$  contains a primitive element  $t'_m$  of  $\Theta_m/\Phi$ , whose minimal polynomial  $\theta_m(X)$  over  $O_\omega(\Phi)$  is Eisensteinian (cf. [3], Ch. I, Theorem 6.1, and [24], Ch. XII, Sects. 2, 3 and 6). Hence,  $\omega$  has a unique prolongation  $\omega_m$  on  $\Theta_m$ , up-to an equivalence,  $\omega(t_m) \notin q\omega(\Phi)$  and  $q^m \omega_m(t'_m) = \omega(t_m)$ , where  $t_m$  is the free term of  $\theta_m(X)$ . As  $\pi \in \Phi$ ,  $v(\pi) \notin qv(K)$  and  $\Theta_m/\Phi$  is a Galois extension, this implies  $t'_m$  is a primitive element of  $M_m/K$  and  $M'_m/K_v$ ,  $q^m v_m(t'_m) = v(t_m) = \omega(t_m)$  and  $v(\pi) \in q^m v_m(M_m)$ , which completes the proof of Lemma 4.2 (a).

We prove Lemma 4.2 (b). Put  $\pi_1 = \pi$  and suppose that there exist elements  $\pi_j \in K^*$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , and an integer  $\mu \leq n$ , such that the cosets  $v(\pi_i) + qv(K)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \mu$ , are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and in case  $\mu < n$ ,  $v(\pi_u) = 0$  and the residue classes  $\hat{\pi}_u$ ,  $u = \mu + 1, \dots, n$ , generate an extension of  $\widehat{K}^q$  of degree  $q^{n-\mu}$ . Fix a generator  $\lambda_m$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L_m/K)$ , for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $T_n$  the  $K$ -algebra  $\otimes_{j=2}^n (L_{j-1}/K, \lambda_{j-1}, \pi_j)$ , where  $\otimes = \otimes_K$ , and put  $T'_n = T_n \otimes_K K_v$ . We show that  $T_n \in d(K)$  (whence  $\exp(T_n) = q$  and  $\text{ind}(T_n) = q^{n-1}$ ). Clearly, there is a  $K_v$ -isomorphism  $T'_n \cong \otimes_{j=2}^n (L'_{j-1}/K_v, \lambda'_{j-1}, \pi_j)$ , where  $\otimes = \otimes_{K_v}$  and  $\lambda'_{j-1}$  is the unique  $K_v$ -automorphism of  $L'_{j-1}$  extending  $\lambda_{j-1}$ , for each  $j$ . Therefore, it suffices for the proof of Lemma 4.2 (b) to show that  $T'_n \in d(K_v)$ . Since  $K_v$  and  $L'_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , are related as  $K$  and  $L_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , this amounts to proving that  $T_n \in d(K)$ , for  $(K, v)$  Henselian. Suppose first that  $n = 2$ . As  $L_1/K$  is totally ramified, it follows from the Henselity of  $v$  that  $v(l) \in qv(L_1)$ , for every element  $l$  of the norm group  $N(L_1/K)$ . One also concludes that if  $l \in N(L_1/K)$  and  $v_L(l) = 0$ , then  $\hat{l} \in \widehat{K}^q$ . These observations prove that  $\pi_2 \notin N(L_1/K)$ , so it follows from [35], Sect. 15.1, Proposition b, that  $T_2 \in d(K)$ . Henceforth, we assume that  $n \geq 3$  and view all value groups considered in the rest of the proof as (ordered) subgroups of a fixed divisible hull of  $v(K)$ . Note that the centralizer  $C_n$  of  $L_n$  in  $T_n$  is  $L_n$ -isomorphic to  $T_{n-1} \otimes_K L_n$  and  $\otimes_{j=2}^{n-1} (L_{j-1} L_n, \lambda_{j-1, n}, \pi_j)$ ,



where  $\otimes = \otimes_{L_n}$  and  $\lambda_{j-1,n}$  is the unique  $L_n$ -automorphism of  $L_{j-1}L_n$  extending  $\lambda_{j-1}$ , for each index  $j$ . Therefore, using (3.1) and Lemma 4.2 (a), one obtains inductively that it suffices to prove that  $T_n \in d(K)$ , provided  $C_n \in d(L_n)$ .

Denote by  $w_n$  the valuation of  $C_n$  extending  $v_{L_n}$ , and by  $\widehat{C}_n$  its residue division ring. It follows from the Ostrowski-Draxl theorem that  $w_n(C_n)$  equals the sum of  $v(M_n)$  and the group generated by  $q^{-1}v(\pi_{i'})$ ,  $i' = 2, \dots, n-1$ . Similarly, it is proved that  $\widehat{C}_n$  is a field and  $\widehat{C}_n^q \subseteq \widehat{K}$ . One also sees that  $\widehat{C}_n \neq \widehat{K}$  if and only if  $\mu < n-1$ , and in this case,  $[\widehat{C}_n : \widehat{K}] = q^{n-1-\mu}$  and  $\hat{\pi}_u \in \widehat{C}_n^q$ ,  $u = \mu+1, \dots, n-1$ . These results show that  $v(\pi_n) \notin qw_n(C_n)$ , if  $\mu = n$ , and  $\hat{\pi}_n \notin \widehat{C}_n^q$  when  $\mu < n$ . Let now  $\bar{\lambda}_n$  be the  $K$ -automorphism of  $C_n$  extending both  $\lambda_n$  and the identity of the natural  $K$ -isomorphic copy of  $T_{n-1}$  in  $C_n$ , and let  $t'_n = \prod_{\kappa=0}^{q-1} \bar{\lambda}_n^\kappa(t_n)$ , for each  $t_n \in C_n$ . Then, by Skolem-Noether's theorem (cf. [35], Sect. 12.6),  $\bar{\lambda}_n$  is induced by an inner  $K$ -automorphism of  $T_n$ . This implies  $w_n(t_n) = w_n(\bar{\lambda}_n(t_n))$  and  $w_n(t'_n) \in qw_n(C_n)$ , for all  $t_n \in C_n$ , and yields  $\hat{t}'_n \in \widehat{C}_n^q$  when  $w_n(t_n) = 0$ . Therefore,  $t'_n \neq \pi_n$ ,  $t_n \in C_n$ , so it follows from [1], Ch. XI, Theorems 11 and 12, that  $T_n \in d(K)$ . Lemma 4.2 is proved.  $\square$

*Proof of the latter assertion of Theorem 2.1 (c).* Assume that  $F/E$  is an FG-extension, such that  $\text{char}(E) = p$ ,  $[E : E^p] = p^\nu < \infty$  and  $\text{trd}(F/E) = t \geq 1$ . This implies  $[F : F^p] = p^{\nu+t}$ , so it follows from Lemma 4.1 and [1], Ch. VII, Theorem 28, that  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \leq \text{abrd}_p(F) \leq \nu + t$ . At the same time, it is clear from (4.1) and Lemma 4.2 that there exists  $\Delta \in d(F)$  with  $\exp(\Delta) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(\Delta) = p^{\nu+t-1}$ , which yields  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq \nu + t - 1$  and so completes our proof.

Our next lemma is implied by (3.5), Lemma 3.1 and the immediacy of Henselizations of valued fields (cf. [14], Theorems 15.2.2 and 15.3.5).

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $F = E(X)$  a rational extension of  $E$  with  $\text{trd}(F/E) = 1$ ,  $f(X) \in E[X]$  an irreducible polynomial over  $E$ ,  $M$  an extension of  $E$  generated by a root of  $f$  in  $E_{\text{sep}}$ ,  $v$  a discrete  $E$ -valuation of  $F$  with a uniform element  $f$ , and  $(F_v, \bar{v})$  a Henselization of  $(F, v)$ . Also, let  $\tilde{D} \in d(M)$  be an algebra of exponent  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then  $M$  is  $E$ -isomorphic to the residue field of  $(F, v)$  and  $(F_v, \bar{v})$ , and there exists  $D \in d(F)$  with  $\exp(D) = p$  and  $[D \otimes_F F_v] = [D']$ , where  $D' \in d(F_v)$  is an inertial lift of  $\tilde{D}$  over  $F_v$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.1 (a).* Let  $\text{abrd}_p(E) = \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F = E(X_1, \dots, X_\kappa)$ . Then, by Lemma 4.1, there exists  $M \in \text{Fe}(E)$ , such that  $d(M)$  contains an algebra  $\tilde{\Delta}$  with  $\exp(\tilde{\Delta}) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(\tilde{\Delta}) = p^\lambda$ . We show that there is  $\Delta \in d(F)$  with  $\exp(\Delta) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(\Delta) \geq p^{\lambda+\kappa-1}$ . Suppose first that  $\kappa = 1$ , take a primitive element  $\alpha$  of  $M/E$ , and denote by  $f(X_1)$  its minimal monic polynomial over  $E$ . Attach to  $f$  a discrete valuation  $v$  of  $F$  and fix  $(F_v, \bar{v})$  as in Lemma 4.3. Then, by Lemma 3.1, there exists  $\Delta_1 \in d(F)$  with  $[\Delta_1 \otimes_F F_v] = [\bar{\Delta}]$ , in  $\text{Br}(F_v)$ , where  $\bar{\Delta}$  is an inertial lift of  $\tilde{\Delta}$  over  $F_v$ . Since  $\bar{\Delta} \in d(F_v)$ ,  $\exp(\bar{\Delta}) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(\bar{\Delta}) = p^\lambda$ , this indicates that  $p^\lambda \mid \text{ind}(\Delta_1)$ , which proves Theorem 2.1 (a) when  $\kappa = 1$ . In addition, Lemma 3.2 implies that there exist infinitely many degree  $p$  cyclic extensions of  $F$  in  $F_v$ . Hence,  $F_v$  contains as a subfield a Galois extension  $R_\kappa$  of  $F$  with  $\mathcal{G}(R_\kappa/F)$  of order  $p^{\kappa-1}$  and exponent  $p$ . When  $\text{ind}(\Delta_1) = p^\lambda$ , this makes it easy to deduce the existence of  $\Delta$ , for an arbitrary  $\kappa$ , from (4.1) (with a ground field  $E(X_1)$  instead of  $E$ ) and [32], Theorem 1,

or else, by repeatedly using the Proposition in [35], Sect. 19.6. It remains to consider the case where  $\kappa \geq 2$  and there exists  $D_1 \in d(E(X_1))$  with  $\exp(D_1) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(D_1) = p^{\lambda'} > p^\lambda$ . It is easily verified that  $D_1 \otimes_{E(X_1)} E(X_1)((X_2)) \in d(E(X_1)((X_2)))$ , and it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there are infinitely many degree  $p$  cyclic extensions of  $E(X_1, X_2)$  in  $E(X_1)((X_2))$ . As in the case of  $\kappa = 1$ , this enables one to prove the existence of  $\Delta' \in d(F)$  with  $\exp(\Delta') = p$  and  $\text{ind}(\Delta') = p^{\lambda' + \kappa - 2} \geq p^{\lambda + \kappa - 1}$ . Thus Theorem 2.1 (a) is proved.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $E$  be a field and  $F/E$  a rational extension with  $\text{trd}(F/E) = \infty$ . Then  $\text{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$ , for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.1 (a) and the fact that, for any rational field extension  $F'/F$  with  $\text{trd}(F'/F) = 2$ , there is an  $E$ -isomorphism  $F \cong F'$ , whence  $\text{Brd}_p(F) = \text{Brd}_p(F')$ , for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** *Let  $E$  be a field with  $\text{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and let  $F/E$  be a transcendental FG-extension. Then it follows from (1.1) (b), (c) and Theorem 2.1 (b) that Brauer pairs  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  are index-exponent pairs over  $F$ . Therefore, Corollary 4.4 with its proof implies the latter assertion of (1.2).*

*Alternatively, it follows from Galois theory, Lemmas 3.2, 4.3 and basic theory of valuation prolongations that  $r_p(\Phi) = \infty$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , for every transcendental FG-extension  $\Phi/E$ . Hence, by [12] and Witt's lemma (cf. [10], Sect. 15, Lemma 2), finite abelian groups are realizable as Galois groups over  $\Phi$ , so both parts of (1.2) can be proved by the method used in [35], Sect. 19.6.*

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $F/E$  be an FG-extension with  $\text{trd}(F/E) = t \geq 1$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ ,  $p \in P$ , for some subset  $P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ . Then  $P$  possesses a finite subset  $P(F/E)$ , such that  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq \text{abrd}_p(E) + t - 1$ ,  $p \in P \setminus P(F/E)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from (1.1) (c) and Theorem 2.2 (a) that one may take as  $P(F/E)$  the set of divisors of  $[F: F_0]$  lying in  $P$ , for some rational extension  $F_0$  of  $E$  in  $F$  with  $\text{trd}(F_0/E) = t$ .

**Example 4.7.** *There exist field extensions  $F/E$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 4.6, for  $P = \mathbb{P}$ , such that  $P(F/E)$  is nonempty. For instance, let  $E$  be a real closed field,  $\Phi$  the function field of the Brauer-Severi variety attached to the symbol  $E$ -algebra  $A = A_{-1}(-1, -1; E)$ , and  $F/\Phi$  a finite field extension with  $\sqrt{-1} \notin F$ . Then  $\text{abrd}(F) = 0 < \text{abrd}_2(E) = 1$  (see the example in [7]) and  $\text{abrd}_p(E) = 0$ ,  $p > 2$ , which implies  $P(F/E) = \{2\}$  and  $P = \mathbb{P}$ .*

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.1 (b)

The former claim of Theorem 2.1 (b) is implied by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $K$  be a field with  $\text{abrd}_p(K) = \infty$ , for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and let  $F/K$  be an FG-extension with  $\text{trd}(F/K) \geq 1$ . Then there exist  $D_\nu \in d(F)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\exp(D_\nu) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(D_\nu) \geq p^\nu$ .*

*Proof.* Statement (1.1) (c) implies the class of fields  $\Phi$  with  $\text{abrd}_p(\Phi) = \infty$  is closed under the formation of finite extensions. Since  $K$  has a rational extension  $F_0$  in  $F$  with  $\text{trd}(F_0/K) = \text{trd}(F/K)$ , whence  $[F:F_0] < \infty$ , this shows that it is sufficient to prove Lemma 5.1 in the case of  $F = F_0$ . Note also that  $\text{ind}(T_0 \otimes_K F_0) = \text{ind}(T_0)$  and  $\exp(T_0 \otimes_K F_0) = \exp(T_0)$ , for each  $T_0 \in d(K)$ , so one may assume, for the proof, that  $F = F_0$  and  $\text{trd}(F/K) = 1$ . It follows from Lemma 4.1 and the equality  $\text{abrd}_p(K) = \infty$  that there are  $M_\nu \in \text{Fe}(K)$  and  $\tilde{D}_\nu \in d(M_\nu)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $\exp(\tilde{D}_\nu) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(\tilde{D}_\nu) \geq p^\nu$ , for each index  $\nu$ . Hence, by Lemmas 4.3 and 3.1, there exist a discrete  $K$ -valuation  $v_\nu$  of  $F$ , and an algebra  $D_\nu \in d(F)$ , such that the residue field of  $(F, v_\nu)$  is  $K$ -isomorphic to  $M_\nu$ ,  $\exp(D_\nu) = p$ , and  $[D_\nu \otimes_F F_\nu] = [D'_\nu]$ , where  $D'_\nu$  is an inertial lift of  $\tilde{D}_\nu$  over  $F_\nu$ . This implies  $\text{ind}(\tilde{D}_\nu) \mid \text{ind}(D_\nu)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , proving Lemma 5.1.  $\square$

To prove the latter part of Theorem 2.1 (b) we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be algebras over a field  $F$ , such that  $A, B, C \in s(F)$ ,  $A = B \otimes_F C$ ,  $\exp(C) = p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and  $\exp(B) = \text{ind}(B) = p^m$ , for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $\text{ind}(A) = p^n > p^m$  and  $k$  is an integer with  $m < k \leq n$ . Then there exists  $T_k \in s(F)$  with  $\exp(T_k) = p^m$  and  $\text{ind}(T_k) = p^k$ .*

*Proof.* When  $k = n$ , there is nothing to prove, so we assume that  $k < n$ . By [30], Sect. 4, Theorem 2,  $[C] = [\Delta_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \Delta_\nu]$ , where  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each index  $j$ ,  $\Delta_j \in d(F)$  and  $\text{ind}(\Delta_j) = p$ . Put  $T_j = B \otimes_F (\Delta_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \Delta_j)$  and  $t_j = \deg(T_j)/\text{ind}(T_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , and let  $S(A)$  be the set of those  $j$ , for which  $\text{ind}(T_j) \geq p^k$ . Clearly,  $S(A) \neq \emptyset$  and the set  $S_0(A) = \{i \in S(A) : t_i \leq t_j, j \in S(A)\}$  contains a minimal index  $\gamma$ . The conditions of Lemma 5.2 ensure that  $\exp(T_j) = p^m$ , so  $\text{ind}(T_j) = p^{m(j)}$ , where  $m(j) \in \mathbb{N}$ , for each  $j \in S(A)$ . We show that  $\text{ind}(T_\gamma) = p^k$ . If  $\gamma = 1$ , then (1.1) (c) and the inequality  $m < k$  imply  $k = m + 1$  and  $\text{ind}(T_1) = p^k$ , as claimed. Suppose now that  $\gamma \geq 2$ . Then it follows from (1.1) (b) that  $\text{ind}(T_\gamma) = \text{ind}(T_{\gamma-1}) \cdot p^\mu$ , for some  $\mu \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . The possibility that  $\mu \neq 1$  is ruled out, since it contradicts the fact that  $\gamma \in S_0(A)$ . This yields  $\text{ind}(T_\gamma) = \text{ind}(T_{\gamma-1}) \cdot p$  and  $t_\gamma = t_{\gamma-1}$ . As  $\gamma$  is minimal in  $S_0(A)$ , it is now easy to see that  $\text{ind}(T_{\gamma-u}) = p^{k-u}$ ,  $u = 0, 1$ , which proves Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

The conditions of Lemma 5.2 are fulfilled, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and infinitely many integers  $n > m$ , if  $\text{char}(E) = p$ ,  $E$  is *not* virtually perfect and  $F/E$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Since, by Witt's lemma, cyclic  $p$ -extensions of  $F$  are realizable as intermediate fields of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of  $F$ , this can be obtained by applying (1.1) (b), (4.1) and Lemma 4.2 together with general properties of cyclic  $F$ -algebras, see [35], Sect. 15.1, Corollary b and Proposition b. Thus Theorem 2.1 is proved in the case of  $p = \text{char}(E)$ . For the proof of the latter assertion of Theorem 2.1 (b), when  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ , we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $K$  be a field and  $F/K$  an FG-extension with  $\text{trd}(F/K) = 1$ . Then, for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  different from  $\text{char}(K)$ , there exist non-equivalent discrete  $K$ -valuations  $v_m$  of  $F$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying the following:*

- (a) *For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(F, v_m)$  possesses a totally ramified extension  $(F_m, w_m)$ , such that  $F_m \in I(F_{\text{sep}}/F)$ ,  $F_m/F$  is cyclic and  $[F_m : F] = p^m$ ;*
- (b) *The valued fields  $(F_m, w_m)$  can be chosen so that  $F_{m'} \cap F_{\bar{m}} = F$ ,  $m' \neq \bar{m}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in F$  be a transcendental element over  $K$ . Then  $F/K(X)$  is a finite extension, and the separable closure of  $K(X)$  in  $F$  is unramified relative to every discrete  $K$ -valuation of  $K(X)$ , with at most finitely many exceptions (up-to an equivalence, see [3], Ch. I, Sect. 5). This reduces the proof of Lemma 5.3 to the special case of  $F = K(X)$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\delta_m \in F_{\text{sep}}$  be a primitive  $p^m$ -th root of unity,  $K_m = K(\delta_m)$ ,  $f_m(X) \in K[X]$  the minimal polynomial of  $\delta_m$  over  $K$ , and  $\rho_m$  a discrete  $K$ -valuation of  $F$  with a uniform element  $f_m$ . Clearly, the valuations  $\rho_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , are pairwise non-equivalent. Also, it is well-known (see [24], Ch. V, Theorem 6; Ch. VIII, Sect. 3, and [18], Ch. 4, Sect. 1) that if  $m', \bar{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the extension  $K_{m'}(\delta_{\bar{m}})/K_{m'}$  are cyclic except, possibly, in the case where  $m' = 1$ ,  $\bar{m} > 2$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  and  $\delta_2 \notin K$ . Denote by  $v_m$  the valuation  $\rho_{m+1}$ , for each  $m$ , if  $p = 2$ ,  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  and  $\delta_2 \notin K$ , and put  $v_m = \rho_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , otherwise. Since  $p \neq \text{char}(K)$ , and by Lemma 4.5,  $K_m$  is  $K$ -isomorphic to the residue field of  $(F, \rho_m)$ , we have  $\delta_m \in F_{v_m}$ , where  $F_{v_m}$  is a Henselization of  $F$  in  $F_{\text{sep}}$  relative to  $v_m$ . This enables one to deduce from Kummer theory that  $F_{v_m}$  possesses a totally ramified cyclic extension  $L_{v_m}$  of degree  $p^m$ . Furthermore, it follows from the choice of  $v_m$  and the observation on the extensions  $K_{m'}(\delta_{\bar{m}})/K_{m'}$  that  $F_{m'}(\delta_{\bar{m}})/F_{m'}$  are cyclic, for all pairs  $m', \bar{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by the generalized Grunwald-Wang theorem (cf. [27], Theorems 1 (ii) and 2) and the note preceding the statement of Lemma 3.2, there exist totally ramified extensions  $(F_m, w_m)/(F, v_m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $F_m \in I(F_{\text{sep}}/F)$ ,  $F_m/F$  is cyclic with  $[F_m : F] = p^m$ , for each  $m$ , and in case  $m \geq 2$ ,  $F_m/F$  is unramified relative to  $v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}$ . This ensures that  $F_{m'} \cap F_{\bar{m}} = F$ ,  $m' \neq \bar{m}$ , and so completes the proof of Lemma 5.3.  $\square$

*Proof of the latter statement of Theorem 2.1 (b).* Let  $\text{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$ , for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . In view of (1.1) (b), Lemmas 3.1, 5.1 and 5.2, it is sufficient to show that there exists  $A_m \in d(F)$  with  $\exp(A_m) = \text{ind}(A_m) = p^m$ , for any fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . As in the proof of Lemma 5.1, our considerations reduce to the special case of  $\text{trd}(F/K) = 1$ . Analyzing this proof, one obtains that there is  $M \in \text{Fe}(E)$ , such that  $d(M)$  contains a cyclic  $M$ -algebra  $\tilde{A}_1$  of degree  $p$ , and when  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ ,  $M$  contains a primitive  $p^m$ -th root of unity  $\delta_m$ . Note further that  $M$  can be chosen so as to be  $E$ -isomorphic to the residue field  $\hat{F}$  of  $F$  relative to some discrete  $E$ -valuation  $v$ . In view of Kummer theory (see [24], Ch. VIII, Sect. 6) and Witt's lemma, the assumptions on  $M$  ensure that each degree  $p$  cyclic extension  $Y_1$  of  $M$  lies in  $I(Y_m/M)$ , for some degree  $p^m$  cyclic extension  $Y_m/M$ . Suppose now that  $Y_1$  embeds in  $\tilde{A}_1$  as an  $M$ -subalgebra, fix a generator  $\tau_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}(Y_1/M)$  and an automorphism  $\tau_m$  of  $Y_m$  extending  $\tau_1$ . Then  $\tilde{A}_1$  is isomorphic to the cyclic  $M$ -algebra  $(Y_1/M, \tau_1, \tilde{\beta})$ , for some  $\tilde{\beta} \in M^*$ ,  $\tau_m$  generates  $\mathcal{G}(Y_m/M)$ , the  $M$ -algebra  $\tilde{A}_m = (Y_m/M, \tau_m, \tilde{\beta})$  lies in  $s(M)$ , and we have  $p^{m-1}[\tilde{A}_m] = [\tilde{A}_1]$  (cf. [35], Sect. 15.1, Corollary b). Therefore,  $\tilde{A}_m \in d(M)$

and  $\text{ind}(\tilde{A}_m) = \exp(\tilde{A}_m) = p^m$ . Assume now that  $(F, v)$  has a valued extension  $(L, v_L)$ , such that  $L/F$  is cyclic,  $[L: F] = p^m$  and the residue field of  $(L, v_L)$  is  $E$ -isomorphic to  $Y_m$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(L/F) \cong \mathcal{G}(Y_m/M)$ , and for each generator  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L/F)$  and pre-image  $\beta$  of  $\tilde{\beta}$  in  $O_v(F)$ , the algebra  $A_m = (L/F, \sigma, \beta)$  lies in  $d(F)$  (see [35], Sect. 15.1, Proposition b, and [19], Theorem 5.6). Note also that  $\text{ind}(A_m) = \exp(A_m) = p^m$  and  $\sigma$  can be chosen so that  $A_m \otimes_F F_v$  be an inertial lift of  $\tilde{A}_m$  over  $F_v$ . When  $p > 2$ , this completes the proof of Theorem 2.1 (b), since Lemma 3.2 guarantees in this case the existence of a valued extension  $(L, v_L)$  of  $(F, v)$  with the above-noted properties.

Similarly, one concludes that if  $p = 2$ , then it suffices to prove Theorem 2.1 (b), provided  $\text{char}(E) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}(E(\delta_m)/E)$  is noncyclic, where  $\delta_m$  is a primitive  $2^m$ -th root of unity in  $E_{\text{sep}}$ . This implies the group  $E_1^*/E_1^{*2^\nu}$  has exponent  $2^\nu$ , for each  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_1 \in \text{Fe}(E)$  (cf. [24], Ch. VIII, Sects. 3 and 9). Take a valued extension  $(F_m, w_m)/(F, v_m)$  as required by Lemma 5.3, and denote by  $\hat{F}_m$  the residue field of  $(F, v_m)$ . Fix a generator  $\psi_m$  of  $\mathcal{G}(F_m/F)$  and an element  $\tilde{\beta}_m \in \hat{F}_m^*$  so that  $\tilde{\beta}_m^{2^{m-1}} \notin \hat{F}_m^{*2^m}$ , and put  $A_m = (F_m/F, \psi_m, \beta_m)$ , for some pre-image  $\beta_m$  of  $\tilde{\beta}_m$  in  $O_{v_m}(F)$ . As  $(F_m, w_m)/(F, v_m)$  is totally ramified,  $w_m$  is uniquely determined by  $v_m$ , up-to an equivalence. Therefore,  $w_m(\lambda_m) = w_m(\psi_m(\lambda_m))$ , for all  $\lambda_m \in F_m$ , and when  $w_m(\lambda_m) = 0$ ,  $\hat{F}_m^{*2^m}$  contains the residue class of the norm  $N_{F_m}^{F_m}(\lambda_m)$ . Now it follows from [35], Sect. 15.1, Proposition b, that  $A_m \in d(F)$  and  $\text{ind}(A_m) = \exp(A_m) = 2^m$ , so Theorem 2.1 is proved.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $E$  be a field with  $\text{abrd}(E) = \infty$ . Then  $\text{Brd}(F) = \infty$ , for every transcendental FG-extension  $F/E$ .*

*Proof.* The equality  $\text{abrd}(E) = \infty$  means that either  $\text{abrd}_{p'}(E) = \infty$ , for some  $p' \in \mathbb{P}$ , or  $\text{abrd}_p(E)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , is an unbounded number sequence. In view of Theorem 2.1 (b) and Proposition 4.6, this proves our assertion.  $\square$

Corollary 5.4 shows that a field  $E$  satisfies  $\text{abrd}(E) < \infty$ , if its FG-extensions have finite dimensions, in the sense of [2], Sect. 4. In view of (2.7) (a), this proves that Problem 4.4 of [2] is solved, generally, in the negative, even when finite extensions of  $E$  have finite Brauer dimensions. Statements (2.7) also imply that both cases pointed out in the proof of Corollary 5.4 can be realized.

**Remark 5.5.** *Statement (2.6) indicates that if [2], Problem 4.5, is solved affirmatively in the class  $\mathcal{A}$  of virtually perfect fields  $E$  with  $\text{abrd}(E) < \infty$ , then  $\text{abrd}(E) \leq \dim(E)$ . We show that such a solvability would imply the numbers  $c(E)$ , in (2.6), depend on the choice of  $E$  and may be arbitrarily large. Let  $C$  be an algebraically closed field,  $\nu$  a positive integer and  $C_\nu = C((X_1)) \dots ((X_\nu))$  the iterated formal Laurent formal power series field in  $\nu$  variables over  $C$ . We prove that  $c(C_\nu) \geq [\nu/2] - 1$ . Note first that each FG-extension  $F/C_\nu$  with  $\text{trd}(F/C_\nu) = 1$  has a  $C$ -valuation  $f_\nu$ , such that  $\text{trd}(\hat{F}/C) = 1$  and  $f_\nu(F) = \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ . Indeed, if  $T \in F$  is a transcendental element over  $C_\nu$ ,  $F_0 = C_\nu(T)$ , and  $f_0$  is the restricted Gauss valuation of  $F_0$  extending the natural  $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ -valued  $C$ -valuation of  $C_\nu$  (see [14], Example 4.3.2), then one may take as  $f_\nu$  any prolongation of  $f_0$  on  $F$ . The equality  $\text{trd}(\hat{F}/C) = 1$  ensures that  $r_p(\hat{F}) = \infty$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , which enables one to deduce from [32], Theorem 1, and [26], Corollary 1.4, that*

$\text{Brd}_p(F) = \text{abrd}_p(F) = \nu$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $p \neq \text{char}(C)$  (see [26], page 37, for more details in case  $F/C_\nu$  is rational). At the same time, it follows from [9], Proposition 7.1, that if  $\text{char}(C) = 0$ , then  $\text{Brd}(C_\nu) = \text{abrd}(C_\nu) = [\nu/2]$ ; hence, by (2.6),  $c(C_\nu) \geq \text{abrd}(F) - \text{abrd}(C_\nu) = [\nu/2] - 1$ , as claimed.

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $F$  be a rational extension of an algebraically closed field  $F_0$ . Then  $\text{trd}(F/F_0) = \infty$  if and only if each Brauer pair  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  is realizable as an index-exponent pair over  $F$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{trd}(F/F_0) = n < \infty$ , then finite extensions of  $F$  are  $C_n$ -fields, by Lang-Tsen's theorem [23], so Lemma 4.1 and [28] imply  $\text{Brd}_p(F) < p^{n-1}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  (see [31], (16.10), for case  $p = 2$ ). In view of (1.2), this completes our proof.  $\square$

Theorem 2.1 and Example 4.7 lead naturally to the question of whether  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq k + \text{trd}(F/E)$ , provided that  $F/E$  is an FG-extension and  $\text{Brd}_p(E') = k < \infty$ ,  $E' \in \text{Fe}(E)$ , for a given  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Our next result gives an affirmative answer to this question in several frequently used special cases:

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $E$  be a field and  $F$  an FG-extension of  $E$  with  $\text{trd}(F/E) = n > 0$ . Suppose that there exists  $M \in \text{Fe}(E)$  satisfying the following condition, for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :*

(c) *For each  $M' \in \text{Fe}(M)$ , there are  $D' \in d(M')$  and  $L' \in I(M'(p)/M')$ , such that  $\exp(D') = [L' : M'] = p$ ,  $\text{ind}(D') = p^k$  and  $D' \otimes_{M'} L' \in d(L')$ .*

*Then there exist  $D \in d(F)$ , such that  $\exp(D) = p$  and  $\text{ind}(D) \geq p^{k+n}$ ; in particular,  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq k + n$ .*

Proposition 5.7 is proved along the lines drawn in the proofs of Theorem 2.1 (a) and (b), so we omit the details. Note only that if  $n \geq 2$  or  $k = 1$ , then  $D$  can be chosen so that  $D \otimes_F F_v \in d(F_v)$ ,  $[D \otimes_F F_v] \in \text{Br}(F_{v, \text{un}}/F_v)$  and  $p^{n-1} \mid e(D \otimes_F F_v/F_v) \mid p^n$ , for some  $E$ -valuation  $v$  of  $F$  with  $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \leq v(F) \leq \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Remark 5.8.** *Condition (c) of Proposition 5.7 is fulfilled, for  $k = 1 = \text{abrd}(E)$  and any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , if  $E$  is a global field or an FG-extension of an algebraically closed field  $E'_0$  with  $\text{trd}(E/E'_0) = 2$ . It also holds when  $k = 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $E$  is an FG-extension of a perfect PAC-field  $E_0$  with  $\text{trd}(E/E_0) = 1 = \text{cd}_p(E_0)$  (see [13], Sect. 3, [35], Sect. 19.3, and the proof of [9], Proposition 4.3). In these cases, it can be deduced from (3.1) and [32], Theorem 1, that the power series fields  $E_m = E((X_1)) \dots ((X_m))$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy (c), for  $k = 1 + m = \text{abrd}_p(E_m)$  (cf. [26], Appendix A, or [9], (4.10) and Proposition 4.3). In addition, the conclusion of Proposition 5.7 is valid, if  $E$  is a local field,  $k = 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , although (c) is then violated, for every  $p$  (see Proposition 6.3 with its proof, and the appendices to [38] and [3], Ch. VI, Sect. 1).*

For a proof of the concluding result of this Section, we refer the reader to [7]. When  $F/E$  is a rational extension and  $r_p(E) \geq \text{trd}(F/E)$ , this result is contained in [33]. Combined with Lemma 3.2, it implies Nakayama's inequalities  $\text{Brd}_{p'}(F') \geq \text{trd}(F'/E') - 1$ ,  $p' \in \mathbb{P}$ , for any FG-extension  $F'/E'$ .

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $F/E$  be an FG-extension with  $\text{trd}(F/E) = n \geq 1$  and  $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) \neq 0$ , for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq n$  except, possibly, if  $p = 2$ , the Sylow pro-2-subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}_E$  are of order 2, and  $F$  is a nonreal field.*

It is not known whether an FG-extension  $F/E$  with  $\text{trd}(F/E) = n \geq 3$  satisfies  $\text{abrd}_p(F) = \text{Brd}_p(F) = n - 1$ , provided that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) = 0$ , and  $E$  is perfect in the case where  $p = \text{char}(E)$ . It follows from (1.1) (c) that this question is equivalent to the Standard Conjecture on  $F/E$  (stated by Colliot-Thélène, see [26] and [25], Sect. 1) when  $E$  is algebraically closed. The question is also open in the case excluded by Proposition 5.9. Results like [28], Theorem 6.3 and Corollary 7.3, as well as statements (2.1) and (2.3) attract interest in the problem of finding exact upper bounds on  $\text{abrd}_p(F)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Specifically, it is worth noting that if  $E$  is algebraically closed and  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq p^{n-2}$ , for infinitely many  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , then this would solve negatively [2], Problem 4.5, by showing that  $\text{Br}(F) = \infty$  whenever  $n \geq 3$ .

## 6 Reduction of (2.2) to the case of $\text{char}(E) = 0$

In this Section we show that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of profinite groups and  $n$  is a positive integer, then the answer to (2.2) would be affirmative, for FG-extensions  $F/E$  with  $\mathcal{G}_E \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{trd}(F/E) \leq n$ , if this holds when  $\text{char}(E) = 0$ . This result can be viewed as a refinement of [14], Corollary 22.2.3, in the spirit of [25], 4.1.2.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $E$  be a field of characteristic  $q > 0$  and  $F/E$  an FG-extension. Then there exists an FG-extension  $L/E'$  satisfying the following:*

- (a)  $\text{char}(E') = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{E'} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$  and  $\text{trd}(L/E') = \text{trd}(F/E)$ ;
- (b)  $\text{Brd}_p(L) \geq \text{Brd}_p(F)$ ,  $\text{abrd}_p(L) = \text{abrd}_p(F)$ ,  $\text{Brd}_p(E') = \text{Brd}_p(E)$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(E') = \text{abrd}_p(E)$ , for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  different from  $q$ .

*Proof.* Fix an algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$  of  $F$  and denote by  $E_{\text{ins}}$  the perfect closure of  $E$  in  $\overline{F}$ . The extension  $E_{\text{ins}}/E$  is purely inseparable, so it follows from the Albert-Hochschild theorem (cf. [40], Ch. II, 2.2) that the scalar extension map of  $\text{Br}(E)$  into  $\text{Br}(E_{\text{ins}})$  is surjective. Since finite extensions of  $E$  in  $E_{\text{ins}}$  are of  $q$ -primary degrees, one obtains from (1.1) (c) that  $\text{ind}(D \otimes_E E_{\text{ins}}) = \text{ind}(D)$  and  $\text{exp}(D \otimes_E E_{\text{ins}}) = \text{exp}(D)$ , provided  $D \in d(E)$  and  $q \nmid \text{ind}(D)$ . Therefore,  $\text{Brd}_p(E) = \text{Brd}_p(E_{\text{ins}})$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(E) = \text{abrd}_p(E_{\text{ins}})$ , for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $p \neq q$ . As  $\mathcal{G}_{E_{\text{ins}}} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$  (see [24], Ch. VII, Proposition 12) and  $FE_{\text{ins}}/E_{\text{ins}}$  is an FG-extension, this reduces the proof of Proposition 6.1 to the case where  $E$  is perfect. It is known (cf. [14], Theorems 12.4.1 and 12.4.2) that then there exists a Henselian field  $(K, v)$  with  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  and  $\widehat{K} \cong E$ , which can be chosen so that  $v(K) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $v(q) = 1$ . Moreover, it follows from (3.4), [29] and Galois theory (see also the proof of [14], Corollary 22.2.3) that there is  $E' \in I(K_{\text{sep}}/K)$ , such that  $E' \cap K_{\text{ur}} = K$  and  $E'K_{\text{ur}} = K_{\text{sep}}$ . This ensures that  $v(E') = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\widehat{E'} = \widehat{K} = E$  and  $E'_{\text{ur}} = E'_{\text{sep}} = K_{\text{sep}}$ . Hence, by (3.3) and (3.5),  $\mathcal{G}_{E'} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$ ,  $\text{Brd}_p(E') = \text{Brd}_p(E)$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(E') = \text{abrd}_p(E)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{q\}$ . Observe that, since  $E$  is perfect,  $F/E$  is separably generated, i.e. there is  $F_0 \in I(F/E)$ , such that  $F_0/E$  is rational and  $F \in \text{Fe}(F_0)$  (cf. [24], Ch. X). Note further that

each rational extension  $L_0$  of  $E'$  with  $\text{trd}(L_0/E') = \text{trd}(F_0/E)$  has a restricted Gauss valuation  $\omega_0$  extending  $v_{E'}$  with  $\widehat{L}_0 = F_0$  (cf. [14], Example 4.3.2). Fixing  $(L_0, \omega_0)$ , one can take its valued extension  $(L, \omega)$  so that  $L_\omega \cong L \otimes_{L_0} L_{0, \omega_0}$  is an inertial lift of  $F$  over  $L_{0, \omega_0}$ . This yields  $\omega(L) = \omega_0(L_0) = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\widehat{L} \cong F$  over  $F_0$ ,  $[L: L_0] = [F: F_0]$  and  $\text{trd}(L/K) = \text{trd}(F/E)$ . It also becomes clear that, for each  $F' \in \text{Fe}(F)$ , there exists a valued extension  $(L', \omega')$  of  $(L, \omega)$  with  $[L': L] = [F': F]$  and  $\widehat{L}' \cong F'$ . Observing now that  $L'/E'$ ,  $F' \in \text{Fe}(F)$ , are FG-extensions, applying (3.3) and (3.5) to a Henselization  $L'_{\omega'}$ , for any admissible  $F'$ , and using Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1, one concludes that  $\text{Brd}_p(L') \geq \text{Brd}_p(F')$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(L) \geq \text{abrd}_p(F)$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{q\}$ . Proposition 6.1 is proved.  $\square$

We show that in zero characteristic Proposition 2.2 can be deduced from Proposition 6.1.

**Example 6.2.** Let  $K_0$  be a field with 2 elements,  $K_n = K_0((X_1)) \dots ((X_n))$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an inductively defined sequence of iterated formal power series fields in  $n$  variables over  $K_0$ , by the rule  $K_n = K_{n-1}((X_n))$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\Theta$  be a perfect closure of the union  $K_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$ . It is known that the natural  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -valued valuations, say  $v_n$ , of the fields  $K_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , extend uniquely to a Henselian  $K_0$ -valuation  $v$  of  $K_\infty$  with  $\widehat{K}_\infty = K_0$  and  $v(K_\infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty v_n(K_n)$ . Since  $r_p(K_0) = 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and finite extensions of  $K_\infty$  in  $\Theta$  are totally ramified and of 2-primary degrees over  $K_\infty$ , one deduces from [8], Lemma 4.6, that  $\text{Brd}_p(K_\infty) = \text{Brd}_p(\Theta) = 1$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(K_\infty) = \text{abrd}_p(\Theta) = \infty$ , for every  $p > 2$ . At the same time, it is easily obtained (see, e.g., the proof of [8], Lemma 3.1) that  $r_2(\Theta) = \infty$ . Hence, by Proposition 6.1, there is a field  $\Theta'$  with  $\text{char}(\Theta) = 0$ ,  $\text{abrd}_2(\Theta') = 0$  and  $\text{Brd}_p(\Theta') = 1$ ,  $\text{abrd}_p(\Theta') = \infty$ ,  $p > 2$ . Moreover, by the proof of Proposition 6.1,  $\Theta'$  can be chosen so that its group of roots of unity be of order 2. Put  $\Theta_0 = \Theta'$ ,  $\Theta_k = \Theta_{k-1}((T_k))$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for each index  $k$ , fix a maximal extension  $E_k$  of  $\Theta_k$  in  $\Theta_{k, \text{sep}}$  with respect to the property that finite extensions of  $\Theta_k$  in  $E_k$  have odd degrees and are totally ramified over  $\Theta_k$  relative to the natural  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -valued  $\Theta_0$ -valuation of  $\Theta_k$ . This ensures that  $E_k$  does not contain a primitive  $\mu$ -th root of unity, for any odd  $\mu > 1$ , the group  $\theta_k(E_k)/2\theta_k(E_k)$  has order  $2^k$ , and  $\theta_k(E_k) = p\theta_k(E_k)$ , for every  $p > 2$ . Hence, by [8], Lemma 4.6,  $\text{Brd}_2(E_k) = \text{abrd}_2(E_k) = k$  and  $\text{abrd}_p(E_k) = \infty$ ,  $p > 2$ , as claimed.

Similarly to Remark 5.5, the proofs of Proposition 6.1 and our concluding result demonstrate the applicability of restricted Gauss valuations in finding lower bounds on  $\text{Brd}_p(F)$ , for FG-extensions  $F$  of valued fields  $E$  with  $\text{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ :

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $E$  be a local field and  $F/E$  an FG-extension. Then  $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq 1 + \text{trd}(F/E)$ , for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

*Proof.* As  $\text{Brd}_p(F) = 1$  when  $\text{trd}(F/E) = 0$ , we assume that  $\text{trd}(F/E) = n \geq 1$ . We show that, for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , there exists  $D_p \in d(F)$ , such that  $\exp(D_p) = p$ ,  $\text{ind}(D_p) = p^{n+1}$  and  $D_p$  decomposes into a tensor product of cyclic division  $F$ -algebras of degree  $p$ . Let  $\omega$  be the standard discrete valuation of  $E$ ,  $\widehat{E}$  its residue field, and  $F_0$  a rational extension of  $E$  in  $F$  with  $\text{trd}(F_0/E) = n$ . Considering a discrete restricted Gauss valuation of  $F_0$  extending  $\omega$ , and its prolongations on



$F$ , one obtains that  $F$  has a discrete valuation  $v$  extending  $\omega$ , such that  $\widehat{F}$  is an FG-extension of  $\widehat{E}$  with  $\text{trd}(\widehat{F}/\widehat{E}) = n$ . Hence, by the proof of Proposition 5.9, given in [7], there exist  $\Delta'_p \in d(\widehat{F})$  and a degree  $p$  cyclic extension  $L'_p/\widehat{F}$ , such that  $\Delta'_p \otimes_{\widehat{F}} L'_p \in d(L'_p)$ ,  $\exp(\Delta'_p) = p$ ,  $\text{ind}(\Delta'_p) = p^n$  and  $\Delta'_p$  is a tensor product of cyclic division  $\widehat{F}$ -algebras of degree  $p$ . Given a Henselization  $(F_v, \bar{v})$  of  $(F, v)$ , Lemma 3.1 implies the existence of  $\Delta_p \in d(F)$ , such that  $\Delta_p \otimes_F F_v \in d(F_v)$  is an inertial lift of  $\Delta'_p$  over  $F_v$ . Also, by Lemma 3.2, there is a degree  $p$  cyclic extension  $L_p/F$  with  $L_p \otimes_F F_v$  an inertial lift of  $L'_p$  over  $F_v$ . Fix a generator  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L_p/F)$ , take a uniform element  $\beta$  of  $(F, v)$ , and put  $D_p = \Delta_p \otimes_F (L_p/F, \sigma, \beta)$ . Then it follows from (3.1) and [32], Theorem 1, that  $D_p \in d(F)$ ,  $\exp(D_p) = p$ ,  $\text{ind}(D_p) = p^{n+1}$  and  $D_p \otimes_F F_v \in d(F_v)$ , so Proposition 6.3 is proved.  $\square$

Note finally that if  $E$  is a local field,  $F/E$  is an FG-extension and  $\text{trd}(F/E) = 1$ , then  $\text{Brd}_p(F) = 2$ , for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . When  $p = \text{char}(E)$ , this is implied by Proposition 6.3 and Theorem 2.1 (c), and for a proof in the case of  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ , we refer the reader to [34], Theorems 1 and 3, [38] and [26], Corollary 1.4.

*Acknowledgements.* The concluding part of this research was done during my visit to Tokai University, Hiratsuka, Japan, in 2012. I would like to thank my host-professor Junzo Watanabe, the colleagues at the Department of Mathematics, and Mrs. Yoko Kinoshita and her team for their genuine hospitality.

## References

- [1] A.A. Albert, *Structure of Algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. XXIV, 1939.
- [2] A. Auel, E. Brussel, S. Garibaldi, U. Vishne, *Open problems on central simple algebras*, Transform. Groups **16** (2011), 219-264.
- [3] J.W.S. Cassels, A. Fröhlich (Eds.), *Algebraic Number Theory*, Proc. Instruct. Conf., organized by the London Math. Soc. (a NATO Adv. Study Inst.) with the support of IMU, Univ. of Sussex, Brighton, 01.9-17.9, 1965, Academic Press, London-New York, 1967.
- [4] I.D. Chipchakov, *The normality of locally finite associative division algebras over classical fields*, Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I (1988), No. 2, 15-17 (Russian: English transl. in: Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. **43** (1988), 2, 18-21).
- [5] I.D. Chipchakov, *On the classification of central division algebras of linearly bounded degree over global fields and local fields*, J. Algebra **160** (1993), 342-379.
- [6] I.D. Chipchakov, *On the residue fields of Henselian valued stable fields*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 16-49.
- [7] I.D. Chipchakov, *Lower bounds and infinity criterion for Brauer  $p$ -dimensions of finitely-generated field extensions*, C.R. Acad. Buld. Sci. **66** (2013), 923-932 (available online at <http://www.proceedings.bas.bg>).

- [8] I.D. Chipchakov, *On the behaviour of Brauer  $p$ -dimensions under finitely-generated field extensions*, Preprint, The Valuation Theory Home Page (submitted).
- [9] I.D. Chipchakov, *On Brauer  $p$ -dimensions and absolute Brauer  $p$ -dimensions of Henselian fields*, Preprint, arXiv:1207.7120v4 [math.RA].
- [10] P.K. Draxl, *Skew Fields*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 81, Cambridge University Press IX, Cambridge etc., 1983.
- [11] P.K. Draxl, *Ostrowski's theorem for Henselian valued skew fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **354** (1984), 213-218.
- [12] L. Ducos, *Réalisation régulière explicite des groupes abéliens finis comme groupes de Galois*, J. Number Theory **74** (1999), 44-55.
- [13] I. Efrat, *A Hasse principle for function fields over PAC fields*, Isr. J. Math. **122** (2001), 43-60.
- [14] I. Efrat, *Valuations, Orderings, and Milnor  $K$ -Theory*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 124, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., XIII, 2006.
- [15] I.B. Fesenko, S.V. Vostokov, *Local Fields and Their Extensions*, 2nd ed., Transl. Math. Monographs, 121, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [16] M.J. Fried, M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic*, 2nd revised and enlarged ed., Ergebnisse der Math. Und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Bd. 11, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [17] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen, *Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 231-263.
- [18] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 84, Springer-Verlag, XIII, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1982.
- [19] B. Jacob, A. Wadsworth, *Division algebras over Henselian fields*, J. Algebra **128** (1990), 126-179.
- [20] A.J. de Jong, *The period-index problem for the Brauer group of an algebraic surface*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 71-94.
- [21] B. Kahn, *Comparison of some field invariants*, J. Algebra **232** (2000), 485-492.
- [22] J. Kollár, *A conjecture of Ax and degenerations of Fano varieties*, Isr. J. Math. **162** (2007), 235-251.
- [23] S. Lang, *On quasi algebraic closure*, Ann. Math. (2) **55** (1952), 373-390.
- [24] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., Mass., 1965.
- [25] M. Lieblich, *Twisted sheaves and the period-index problem*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), 1-31.

- [26] M. Lieblich, *Period and index in the Brauer group of an arithmetic surface, With an appendix by D. Krashen*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011), 1-41.
- [27] F. Lorenz, P. Roquette, *The theorem of Grunwald-Wang in the setting of valuation theory*, F.-V. Kuhlmann (ed.) et. al., Valuation theory and its applications, vol. II (Saskatoon, SK, 1999), 175-212, Fields Inst. Commun., **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [28] E. Matzri, *Symbol length in the Brauer group of a field*, Preprint, arXiv:1402.0332v1 [math.RA].
- [29] O.V. Mel'nikov, O.I. Tavgen', *The absolute Galois group of a Henselian field*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR **29** (1985), 581-583.
- [30] A.S. Merkur'ev, *Brauer groups of fields*, Comm. Algebra **11** (1983), 2611-2624.
- [31] A.S. Merkur'ev, A.A. Suslin, *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and norm residue homomorphisms*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **46** (1982), 1011-1046 (Russian: English transl. in: Math. USSR Izv. **21** (1983), 307-340).
- [32] P. Morandi, *The Henselization of a valued division algebra*, J. Algebra **122** (1989), 232-243.
- [33] T. Nakayama, *Über die direkte Zerlegung eines Divisionsalgebra*, Jap. J. Math. **12** (1935), 65-70.
- [34] R. Parimala, V. Suresh, *Period-index and u-invariant questions for function fields over complete discretely valued fields*, Preprint, arXiv:1304.2214v1 [math.RA].
- [35] R. Pierce, *Associative Algebras*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 88, Springer-Verlag, XII, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1982.
- [36] M. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, vol. 5, London-New York-San Francisco: Academic Press, a subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers, 1975.
- [37] D.J. Saltman, *Generic algebras*, Brauer groups in ring theory and algebraic geometry, Proc., Antwerp, 1981, Lect. Notes in Math. **917** (1982), 96-117.
- [38] D.J. Saltman, *Division algebras over p-adic curves*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 25-47 (correction in: ibid. **13** (1998), 125-129).
- [39] O.F.G. Schilling, *The Theory of Valuations*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, N.Y., 1950.
- [40] J.-P. Serre, *Galois Cohomology*, Transl. from the French original by Patrick Ion, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [41] G. Whaples, *Algebraic extensions of arbitrary fields*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 201-204.