

SEMIGROUPS OF VALUATIONS ON LOCAL RINGS

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY AND BERNARD TEISSIER

INTRODUCTION

In recent years the progress and applications of valuation theory have brought to light the importance of understanding the semigroups of values which a Krull valuation ν of some field takes on a noetherian local ring (R, m) contained in the ring (R_ν, m_ν) of the valuation. Only two general facts are known:

The first is that these semigroups are well ordered subsets of the positive part of the value group, of ordinal type at most ω^h , where ω is the ordinal type of the well ordered set \mathbf{N} and h is the rank of the valuation (see [16], Appendix 3, Proposition 2). Being well ordered, each value semigroup of a noetherian ring has a unique minimal system of generators, indexed by an ordinal no greater than ω^h .

The second is, in the case where $m_\nu \cap R = m$ and R and R_ν have the same field of fractions, the Abhyankar inequality

$$\text{rr}(\nu) + \text{tr}_k k_\nu \leq \dim R,$$

between the rational rank of the group of the valuation, the transcendence degree over the residue field of R of the residue field of R_ν and the dimension of R (see [16], Vol. 2, Appendix 2).

Examples, starting with plane branches (see [15] and [14]), and continuing with quasi-ordinary hypersurfaces (see [7]) suggest that the structure of the semigroup contains important information on the process of local uniformization.

In this paper we shall consider mostly valued noetherian local rings $(R, m) \subset (R_\nu, m_\nu)$ such that R and R_ν have the same field of fractions, the equality $m_\nu \cap R = m$ holds and the residual extension $R/m \rightarrow R_\nu/m_\nu$ is trivial. We call such valuation rational valuations of R . In this case, the finite generation of the semigroup is equivalent to the finite generation over $k_\nu = R_\nu/m_\nu$ of the graded algebra associated to the valuation

$$\text{gr}_\nu R = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_\gamma / \mathcal{P}_\gamma^+,$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_\gamma = \{f \in R \mid \nu(f) \geq \gamma\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\gamma^+ = \{f \in R \mid \nu(f) > \gamma\}$$

and Γ is the value group of the valuation.

This equivalence is true because each homogeneous component $\mathcal{P}_\gamma / \mathcal{P}_\gamma^+$ is non zero exactly if γ is in the value semigroup $S = \nu(R \setminus \{0\})$, and then it is a one-dimensional vector space over $R/m = R_\nu/m_\nu$ (see [14], section 4).

If the semigroup is finitely generated, it is not difficult to find a valuation of a local noetherian domain which has it as semigroup: the semigroup algebra with coefficients in a field K is then a finitely generated algebra. We can define a weight on its monomials by

The first author was partially supported by NSF and the second by the Miller Scholar in residence program of the University of Missouri at Columbia.

giving to each generator as weight the generator of the semigroup to which it corresponds. Then we define a valuation by deciding that the valuation of a polynomial is the smallest weight of its monomials.

The simplest example is that of a subsemigroup of \mathbf{N} ; it is necessarily finitely generated (see [12], Th. 83 p. 203) and by dividing by the greatest common divisor we may assume that its generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g$ are coprime, so that it generates the group \mathbf{Z} . It is the semigroup of values of the t -adic valuation on the ring $K[t^{\gamma_1}, \dots, t^{\gamma_g}] \subset K[t]$ of the monomial curve corresponding to the semigroup.

In section 2 we give an example of a semigroup of values of a valuation on a polynomial ring $L[x, y, z]$ over a field which generates the group \mathbf{Z}^2 but is not finitely generated as a semigroup. Moreover, the smallest closed cone in \mathbf{R}^2 with vertex 0 containing the semigroup is rational.

If the semigroup is not finitely generated, very little is known about its structure. While we know that all totally ordered abelian groups of finite rational rank appear as value groups of valuations of rational function fields centered in a polynomial ring, we do not know any general condition implying that a well ordered sub-semigroup of the positive part of a totally ordered group of finite rational rank appears as semigroup of values of a noetherian ring. We shall see in section 4 a characterization of those well-ordered sub-semigroups of \mathbf{Q}_+ which are the semigroups of values of a K -valuation on $K[X, Y]_{(X, Y)}$, but no general result is known for subsemigroups contained in the positive part $\mathbf{Q}_{\neq 0}^2$ of \mathbf{Q}^2 for some total ordering \succ .

We show in section 3 that a sub-semigroup S of the positive part of a totally ordered abelian group of finite rational rank, such that S has ordinal type $< \omega^\omega$, has no accumulation points, and S has ordinal type $\leq \omega^h$, where h is the rank of the group generated by S . These are properties which are held by the semigroup of a valuation on a noetherian ring.

Even if one could solve the problem of determining which semigroups of a totally ordered group of (real) rank one come from noetherian rings, an induction on the rank would meet serious difficulties, and one of the questions which appear naturally is that of the position of the generators of the semigroup in regard to the valuation ideals of the valuations with which the given valuation is composed.

More precisely, let ν be a valuation taking non negative values on the noetherian local domain R , let Ψ is the convex subgroup of real rank one in the group Γ of the valuation, and let P be the center in R of the valuation ν_1 with values in Γ/Ψ with which ν is composed. The valuation ν induces a residual valuation $\bar{\nu}$ on the quotient R/P and when the image $q(\gamma)$ of γ in Γ/Ψ by the canonical quotient map $q: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Psi$ is γ_1 we have inclusions of the valuation ideals corresponding to ν and ν_1 :

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+ \subset \mathcal{P}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1},$$

Since R is noetherian, the quotients $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}/\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$ are finitely generated R/P -modules for all $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma/\Psi$. Each is endowed by the filtration $\mathcal{F}(\gamma_1)$ by the $(\mathcal{F}_\gamma = \mathcal{P}_\gamma/\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+)_{\gamma \in q^{-1}(\gamma_1)}$ with a structure of filtered R/P -module with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}(0)$ of R/P by its valuation ideals $(\overline{\mathcal{P}_\delta})_{\delta \in \Psi}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{P}_\delta} = \mathcal{P}_\delta R/P = \mathcal{P}_\delta/P$.

One could hope (see [14]) that the associated graded module

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(\gamma_1)} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}/\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+ = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in q^{-1}(\gamma_1)} \mathcal{P}_\gamma/\mathcal{P}_\gamma^+$$

2

is finitely generated over the associated graded ring

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\nu}}R/P = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Psi_+} \mathcal{P}_\delta / \mathcal{P}_\delta^+.$$

For rational valuations, this would be equivalent to the fact that for each $\gamma_1 > 0$ only finitely many new generators of the semigroup appear in $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$. It would set a drastic restriction on the ordinal type of the minimal set of generators of the semigroup $\nu(R \setminus \{0\})$.

In sections 7 and 8, we give examples showing that this is not at all the case, and that in fact the cardinality of the set of new generators may vary very much with the value of γ_1 . One might have hoped that this lack of finiteness is due to some transcendence in the residual extension from R/P to R_ν/m_ν and disappears after some birational extension of R to another noetherian local ring contained in R_ν which absorbs the transcendence. In one of the examples (section 8) there is no residual extension.

The conclusion is that the semigroups of values of noetherian rings do not seem to be subject to more constraints than the two stated at the beginning.

1. A CRITERION FOR FINITE GENERATION

Given a commutative semigroup S , a set M is endowed with a structure of an S -module by an operation $S \times M \rightarrow M$ written $(s, m) \mapsto s + m$ such that $(s + s') + m = s + (s' + m)$. Recall that M is then said to be a finitely generated S -module if there exist finitely many elements m_1, \dots, m_k in M such that $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (S + m_i)$.

Proposition 1.1. Keeping the notations of the previous section, suppose that K is a field and R is a local domain with residue field K dominated by a valuation ring R_ν of the field of fractions of R . Assume that the residual extension $R/m \rightarrow R_\nu/m_\nu$ is trivial. Then for $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma/\Psi$, The associated graded module

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(\gamma_1)} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1} / \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$$

is a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\nu}}R/P$ -module if and only if

$$F_{\gamma_1} = \{\nu(f) \mid f \in R \text{ and } \nu_1(f) = \gamma_1\}$$

is a finitely generated module over the semigroup $\bar{F} = \bar{\nu}(R/P \setminus \{0\})$.

Proof. Let us first remark that since $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1} / \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$ is an R/P -module, the set F_{γ_1} is an \bar{F} -module.

We know from ([14], 3.3-3.5, 4.1) that the non zero homogeneous components of the graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}_\nu R = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_\gamma / \mathcal{P}_\gamma^+$ are one-dimensional K -vector spaces whose degrees are in bijection with $F = \nu(R \setminus \{0\})$, and that $\bar{F} = F \cap \Psi$.

The $\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\nu}}R/P$ -module $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(\gamma_1)} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1} / \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$ is nothing but the sum of the components of $\mathrm{gr}_\nu R$ whose degree is in $q^{-1}(\gamma_1)$. Since we are dealing with graded modules whose homogeneous components are one-dimensional K -vector spaces, this module is finitely generated if and only if there exist finitely many homogeneous elements $e_1, \dots, e_r \in \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(\gamma_1)} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1} / \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$ such that each homogeneous element of $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(\gamma_1)} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1} / \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$ can be written $\bar{x}e_i$ with $\bar{x} \in R/P$; this is equivalent to an assertion on degrees, which is exactly that the \bar{F} -module F_{γ_1} is finitely generated. \square

2. AN EXAMPLE WITH VALUE GROUP \mathbf{Z}^2 AND NON FINITELY GENERATED SEMIGROUP.

Let K be a field. Let r be a positive natural number such that the characteristic of K does not divide r , and let a_{ij} for $0 \leq i \leq 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$ be algebraically independent over K . Let M be the field

$$M = K(\{a_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq r\}).$$

Let $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_r$ be the subgroup of the permutation group S_r generated by the cycle $(1, 2, \dots, r)$. For $\sigma \in G$, define a K automorphism of M by $\sigma(a_{ij}) = a_{i, \sigma(j)}$. Let $L = M^G$ be the fixed field of G . We have an étale, Galois morphism

$$\Lambda : \mathbf{P}_M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_L^2 \cong \mathbf{P}_M^2/G.$$

Let x_0, x_1, x_2 be homogeneous coordinates on \mathbf{P}_K^2 . Define $p_i = (a_{0i}, a_{1i}, a_{2i}) \in \mathbf{P}_M^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $q = \Lambda(p_1)$. Since $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ is an orbit of Λ under the action of G , we have that $q = \Lambda(p_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $\mathcal{I}_q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_M^2} = \mathcal{I}_{p_1} \cdots \mathcal{I}_{p_r}$.

Let $\bar{\nu}$ be the m -adic valuation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_L^2, q}$.

$$(1) \quad \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^n) = \{F(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d)) \mid \bar{\nu}(F(1, \frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_2}{x_0})) \geq n\}.$$

Since M is flat over L ,

$$(2) \quad \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^n) \otimes_L M = \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes ((\mathcal{I}_{p_1} \cdots \mathcal{I}_{p_r})^n)).$$

Let ν_1 be the m -adic valuation on $R = L[x_1, x_1, x_2]_{(x_0, x_1, x_2)}$. The valuation ring R_{ν_1} of ν_1 is $L[x_0, \frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_2}{x_0}]_{(x_0)}$, with residue field $R_{\nu_1}/m_{\nu_1} \cong L(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_2}{x_0})$. The inclusion of the valuation ring $R_{\bar{\nu}}$ of $\bar{\nu}$ into its quotient field $L(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_2}{x_0})$ determines a composite valuation $\nu = \nu_1 \circ \bar{\nu}$ on the field $L(x_0, x_1, x_2)$, which dominates R . The valuation ν is rational and its value group of ν is $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ with the Lex order.

For $f \in L[x_0, x_1, x_2]$, let $L_f(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ be the leading form of f . L_f is a homogeneous form whose degree is the order $\text{ord}(f)$ of f at the homogeneous maximal ideal of $k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$. The value of f is

$$\nu(f) = (\text{ord}(f), \bar{\nu}(L_f(1, \frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_2}{x_0}))) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}.$$

For $(d, n) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$, we have L module isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{P}_{(d,n)} \cong \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^n) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{m>d} \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(m)) \right),$$

and

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}/\mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}^+ \cong \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^n) / \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^{n+1}).$$

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that $r = s^2$ where $s \geq 4$ is a natural number. Then

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}/\mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}^+ = 0 \text{ if } d \leq ns$$

and if s' is a real number such that $s' > s$, then there exist natural numbers d, n such that $d < ns'$ and

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}/\mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}^+ \neq 0.$$

Proof. We have that $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes ((\mathcal{I}_{p_1} \cdots \mathcal{I}_{p_r})^n)) = 0$ if $d \leq ns$ by (1) of Proposition 1 of [10]. Thus (4) is true by formulas (2) and (3).

Suppose that s' is a real number greater than s . Using the Riemann Roch Theorem and Serre Duality on the blow up of \mathbf{P}_L^2 at q , as in the proof of (2) of Proposition 1 of [10], we compute that

$$\dim_L(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^n) \geq \frac{d(d+3)}{2} - r \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1 > 0$$

if

$$\frac{d(d+3)}{2} \geq r \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Fixing a rational number λ with $s' > \lambda > s$, we see that we can find natural numbers d and m with $\frac{d}{m} = \lambda$ and

$$\dim_L(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^m) \neq 0.$$

Let $n \geq m$ be the largest natural number such that

$$\dim_L(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_L^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_q^n) \neq 0.$$

Then $d < ns'$ and $\mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}/\mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}^+ \neq 0$ by (3). Thus (5) holds. \square

The following result follows from Proposition 2.1

Proposition 2.2. Suppose that $s \geq 4$ is a natural number. Then there exists a field M , a rational function field $M(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ in 3 variables, and a rank 2 valuation ν of $M(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ with value group $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ with the Lex order, which dominates the regular local ring

$$R = M[x_0, x_1, x_2]_{(x_0, x_1, x_2)}$$

such that

$$\bigoplus_{(d,n) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}/\mathcal{P}_{(d,n)}^+$$

is not a finitely generated $R/m_R \cong M$ algebra.

The semigroup $\Gamma = \nu(R \setminus \{0\})$ is not finitely generated as a semigroup. Further, the closed rational cone generated by Γ in \mathbf{R}^2 is the rational polyhedron

$$\{(d, n) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid n \geq 0 \text{ and } d \geq ns\}.$$

3. SEMIGROUPS OF ORDINAL TYPE ω^h

Suppose that G is an ordered abelian group of finite rank n . Then G is order isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbf{R}^n , where \mathbf{R}^n has the lex order (see Proposition 2.10 [1]). If G is the value group of a valuation ν dominating a Noetherian local ring R , and S is the semigroup of values attained by ν on R , then it can be shown that S has no accumulation points in \mathbf{R}^n .

In this section we prove that this property is held by any well ordered semigroup S of ordinal type $\leq \omega^h$, which is contained in the nonnegative part of \mathbf{R}^n . The proof relies on the following lemma. The heuristic idea of the proof of the lemma is that the semigroup generated by a set with an accumulation point has many accumulation points, accumulations of accumulation points, and so on.

Lemma 3.1. Let A be a well ordered set, which is contained in the nonnegative part of \mathbf{R} . Suppose that A has an accumulation point in \mathbf{R} , for the Euclidean topology. For m a positive integer, let

$$mA = \{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m \mid x_1, \dots, x_m \in A\}.$$

Then mA contains a well ordered subset of ordinal type ω^m .

Proof. Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be an accumulation point of A . Since A is well ordered, there exist $\lambda_i \in A$ for $i \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$ for $i < j$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}$. Let $T_1 = \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$. $T_1 \subset A$ is well ordered of ordinal type ω .

For $m \geq 2$ we will construct a well ordered subset T_m of mA which has ordinal type ω^m .

For $a_1 \in \mathbf{N}$, choose $\sigma_1^m(a_1) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\lambda_{a_1} + \bar{\lambda} < \lambda_{a_1+1} + \lambda_{\sigma_1^m(a_1)}.$$

Now for $a_2 \in \mathbf{N}$, choose $\sigma_2^m(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\lambda_{\sigma_1^m(a_1)+a_2} + \bar{\lambda} < \lambda_{\sigma_1^m(a_1)+a_2+1} + \lambda_{\sigma_2^m(a_1, a_2)}.$$

We iterate for $2 \leq i \leq m$ to choose for each $a_i \in \mathbf{N}$, $\sigma_i^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\lambda_{\sigma_{i-1}^m(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})+a_i} + \bar{\lambda} < \lambda_{\sigma_{i-1}^m(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})+a_i+1} + \lambda_{\sigma_i^m(a_1, \dots, a_i)}.$$

Let T_m be the well ordered subset of mA which is the image of the order preserving inclusion $\mathbf{N}^m \rightarrow mA$ where \mathbf{N}^m has the reverse lex order, defined by

$$(a_m, \dots, a_1) \mapsto \lambda_{a_1+1} + \left(\sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_{\sigma_{i-1}^m(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})+a_i+1} \right).$$

By its construction, T_m has ordinal type ω^m . □

Theorem 3.2. Let S be a well ordered subsemigroup of the nonnegative part of \mathbf{R}^n (with the lex order) for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that S has ordinal type $\leq \omega^h$ for some $h \in \mathbf{N}$. Then S does not have an accumulation point in \mathbf{R}^n for the Euclidean topology.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on n . For $n = 1$, the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1. Suppose that the theorem is true for subsemigroups of \mathbf{R}^{n-1} .

Suppose that $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ has an accumulation point α .

Let $\pi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be projection onto the first $n - 1$ factors. For $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we have that $x \leq y$ implies $\pi(x) \leq \pi(y)$. Thus the set $\pi(S)$ is a well ordered semigroup, which has ordinal type $\leq \omega^h$ and is contained in the nonnegative part of \mathbf{R}^{n-1} . Let $\bar{\alpha} = \pi(\alpha)$. By the induction assumption, $\pi(S)$ has no accumulation points. Thus $\bar{\alpha} \in \pi(S)$, and there exists an open neighborhood U of $\bar{\alpha}$ in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} such that $U \cap \pi(S) = \{\bar{\alpha}\}$. Thus $\pi^{-1}(U) \cap S = \pi^{-1}(\{\bar{\alpha}\}) \cap S$, and α is an accumulation point of $\pi^{-1}(\{\bar{\alpha}\}) \cap S$.

Projection on the last factor is a natural homeomorphism of $\pi^{-1}(\{\bar{\alpha}\})$ to \mathbf{R} which is order preserving. Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ be the image of $\pi^{-1}(\{\bar{\alpha}\}) \cap S$. Since A is a well ordered set which has an accumulation point, by Lemma 3.1, mA has a subset which has ordinal type ω^m for all $m \geq 1$. Now projection onto the last factor identifies a subset of $\pi^{-1}(\{\bar{\alpha}\}) \cap S$ with mA for all $m \geq 1$. Thus the ordinal type of S is $\geq \omega^m$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$, a contradiction. □

A variation of the proof of Theorem 3.2 proves the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let S be a well ordered subsemigroup of the nonnegative part of \mathbf{R}^n (with the lex order) for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that S has ordinal type $\leq \omega^h$ for some $h \in \mathbf{N}$. Then S has ordinal type $\leq \omega^n$.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on n .

We first establish the theorem for $n = 1$. Suppose that $S \subset \mathbf{R}$ has ordinal type $> \omega$. To the ordinal number ω there corresponds an element a of S . There are then infinitely many elements of S in the closed interval $[0, a]$, so that S has an accumulation point. By Theorem 3.2, this is impossible.

Now suppose that $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and the theorem is true for subsemigroups of \mathbf{R}^{n-1} . By Theorem 3.2, S has no accumulation points.

Let $\pi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be projection onto the first $n - 1$ factors. The set $\pi(S)$ is a well ordered semigroup, which has ordinal type $\leq \omega^h$ and is contained in the nonnegative part of \mathbf{R}^{n-1} . By the induction assumption, $\pi(S)$ has ordinal type $\leq \omega^{n-1}$. For $\bar{x} \in \pi(S)$, $\pi^{-1}(\{\bar{x}\}) \cap S$ is a well ordered subset of \mathbf{R} which has no accumulation points, and thus has ordinal type $\leq \omega$. Since this is true for all $\bar{x} \in \pi(S)$, the ordinal type of S is $\leq \omega^{n-1}\omega = \omega^n$. \square

4. SUBSEMIGROUPS OF \mathbf{Q}_+

Let S be a well ordered subsemigroup of \mathbf{Q}_+ . Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ be its minimal system of generators. The set of the γ_i may or may not be of ordinal type ω .

For example let us choose two prime numbers p, q and consider the positive rational numbers $\gamma_i = 1 - \frac{1}{p^i}$ for $1 \leq i < \omega$ and $\gamma_i = 2 - \frac{1}{q^{i-\omega+1}}$ for $\omega \leq i < \omega 2$. These numbers form a well ordered subset of \mathbf{Q}_+ of ordinal type $\omega 2$ and generate a certain semigroup $S_{p,q}$ which in turn is well ordered by a result of B.H. Neumann (see [11] and [13], Theorem 3.4). Because of the way their denominators grow with i , the γ_i are a minimal system of generators of $S_{p,q}$. Using the first k prime numbers one can build in the same way well ordered semigroups in \mathbf{Q}_+ with minimal systems of generators of ordinal type ωk for any $k < \omega$.

Remark: Since we assume $S \subset \mathbf{Q}_+$, if it is a semigroup of values for some valuation, that valuation is of rank one and by the result quoted in the Introduction, if the semigroup S comes from a noetherian ring it is of ordinal type $\leq \omega$.

The semigroup $S_{p,q}$ is therefore an example of a well ordered subsemigroup of a totally ordered group of finite rank which cannot be realized as the semigroup of values of a noetherian ring. Using Theorem 3.2 we see that the semigroup S_p generated by the $\gamma_i = 1 - \frac{1}{p^i}$, $0 < i < \omega$ cannot be realized either since it has an accumulation point.

Note that by Corollary 3.3 the ordinal type of S_p or $S_{p,q}$ is $\geq \omega^\omega$. One can ask what is the relationship between the ordinal type of the minimal set of generators of a well ordered subsemigroup S of \mathbf{Q}_+ and the ordinal type of S .

We shall from now on in this section consider only semigroups $S \subset \mathbf{Q}_+$ whose minimal system of generators $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i, \dots)$ is of ordinal type $\leq \omega$. If the γ_i have a common denominator, S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of \mathbf{N} , and finitely generated (for a short proof see [12], Th. 83 p. 203).

Let us therefore assume that there is no common denominator. Let us denote by S_i the semigroup generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i$ and by G_i the subgroup of \mathbf{Q} which it generates. We have $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$. Set also $n_i = [G_i : G_{i-1}]$ for $i \geq 2$. It is convenient to set $n_1 = 1$. The products $\prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ tend to infinity with k .

By definition we have $n_i\gamma_i \in G_{i-1}$ and the image of γ_i is an element of order n_i in G_i/G_{i-1} . For each $i \geq 1$ let r_i be the positive rational number such that $r_i\gamma_1, r_i\gamma_2, \dots, r_i\gamma_i$ generate the group \mathbf{Z} . Since the semigroup $r_{i-1}S_{i-1}$ generates \mathbf{Z} as a group, it has a finite complement (see [12], Th. 82) and some positive multiple of $r_{i-1}n_i\gamma_i$ is contained in it. Thus, we know that there exists a smallest integer s_i such that $s_i\gamma_i \in S_{i-1}$ and that it is an integral multiple of n_i .

Definition 4.1. Let S be a well ordered subsemigroup of the semigroup of positive elements of a totally ordered abelian group of finite rank. Let $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i, \dots)$ be a minimal system of generators of S indexed by some ordinal α and for each ordinal $\beta \leq \alpha$ define S_β to be the semigroup generated by the $(\gamma_i)_{i \leq \beta}$. Given an integer d we say that S has stable asymptotic embedding dimension $\leq d$ if for each $\beta < \alpha$ the semigroup S_β is isomorphic to the semigroup of values which a valuation takes on an equicharacteristic noetherian local domain of embedding dimension $\leq d$ whose residue field is algebraically closed. We say that S has stable embedding dimension $\leq d$ if it is isomorphic to the semigroup of values of a valuation on an equicharacteristic noetherian local domain of embedding dimension $\leq d$ whose residue field is algebraically closed.

Proposition 4.2. Let S be a well ordered subsemigroup of \mathbf{Q}_+ which is not isomorphic to \mathbf{N} and whose minimal system of generators $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i, \dots)$ is of ordinal type $\leq \omega$. The following are equivalent:

- 1) For each $i \geq 2$ we have $s_i = n_i$ and $\gamma_i > s_{i-1}\gamma_{i-1}$.
- 2) The stable asymptotic embedding dimension of S is two.
- 3) The stable embedding dimension of S is two.

Proof. The conditions of 1) are known to be equivalent to the fact that each semigroup S_i is the semigroup of values of the natural valuation of a plane branch, which is of embedding dimension 2 since $S \neq \mathbf{N}$ (see [15], Appendix, for characteristic zero, and [2] for what is necessary to extend to positive characteristic). This shows that 1) is equivalent to 2).

Given a sequence of γ_i satisfying 1), we can associate to it a sequence of key polynomials (SKP) as in ([6], Chapter 2, Definition 2.1) over any algebraically closed field K . That is, a sequence $P_0 = x, P_1 = y, \dots, P_i, \dots$ of polynomials in $K[x, y]$ such that the conditions $\nu(P_i) = \gamma_i$ for all i determine a unique valuation ν of the regular local ring $K[x, y]_{(x, y)}$ or, if the sequence of γ_i is finite, of a one-dimensional quotient $K[x, y]_{(x, y)}/(Q(x, y))$, which is of embedding dimension 2 since $S \neq \mathbf{N}$. The semigroup of values of ν is the semigroup generated by the γ_i (see *loc.cit.*, Theorem 2.28). So we see that 1) implies 3). Finally, if the semigroup S comes from a noetherian local ring of embedding dimension 2, since for valuations of rank one the semigroup does not change under m -adic completion (see [14], §5), we may assume that this ring corresponds either to a branch or to a two-dimensional complete equicharacteristic regular local ring. If S is the semigroup of values of a plane branch, condition 1) is satisfied, as we have seen above and if it comes from a valuation ν of $K[[x, y]]$, by ([6], Theorem 2.29), there exists a SKP associated to ν , and again condition 1) is satisfied. One should note that [6] is written over the complex numbers, but the results of Chapter 2 are valid in any characteristic. \square

Remark: One may ask whether a subsemigroup of \mathbf{Q}_+ of ordinal type ω is always of bounded stable asymptotic embedding dimension or of bounded stable embedding dimension.

5. THE SEMIGROUPS OF NOETHERIAN RINGS ARE NOT ALWAYS RATIONALLY FINITELY GENERATED

Using the results of [6], Chapter 2, one can check that for the semigroup $S = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i, \dots \rangle$ of a valuation of the ring $K[x, y]_{(x, y)}$ there always exist a finite set of generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$ which rationally generate the semigroup S in the sense that for any generator γ_j there is a positive integer s_j such that $s_j \gamma_j \in \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell \rangle$. This fails for polynomial rings of dimension ≥ 3 , as is shown by the following example taken from [14].

Let us give \mathbf{Z}^2 the lexicographic order and consider the field $K((t^{\mathbf{Z}_{\text{lex}}^2}))$ endowed with the t -adic valuation with values in $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{lex}}^2$. Let us denote by $K[[t^{\mathbf{Z}_+^2}]]$ the corresponding valuation ring. Choose a sequence of pairs of positive integers $(a_i, b_i)_{i \geq 3}$ and a sequence of elements $(\lambda_i \in K^*)_{i \geq 3}$ such that $b_{i+1} > b_i$, the series $\sum_{i \geq 3} \lambda_i u_2^{b_i}$ is not algebraic over $K[u_2]$, and the ratios $\frac{a_{i+1} - a_i}{b_{i+1}}$ are positive and increases strictly with i . Let R_0 be the K -subalgebra of $K[[t^{\mathbf{Z}_+^2}]]$ generated by

$$u_1 = t^{(0,1)}, u_2 = t^{(1,0)}, u_3 = \sum_{i \geq 3} \lambda_i u_1^{-a_i} u_2^{b_i}.$$

There cannot be an algebraic relation between u_1, u_2 , and u_3 , so the ring $R_0 = K[u_1, u_2, u_3]$ is the polynomial ring in three variables. It inherits the t -adic valuation of $K[[t^{\mathbf{Z}_+^2}]]$. One checks that this valuation extends to the localization $R = K[u_1, u_2, u_3]_{(u_1, u_2, u_3)}$; it is a rational valuation of height two and rational rank two. Let us compute the semigroup S of the values that it takes on R . We have $\gamma_1 = (0, 1), \gamma_2 = (1, 0), \gamma_3 = (b_3, -a_3) \in S$. Set $S_3 = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \rangle$. Then we have $u_1^{a_3} u_3 - \lambda_3 u_2^{b_3} = \sum_{i \geq 4} \lambda_i u_1^{a_3 - a_i} u_2^{b_i} \in R$, so that $\gamma_4 = (b_4, a_3 - a_4)$ is in S . It is easy to deduce from our assumptions that *no multiple of γ_4 is in S_3* , and that it is the smallest element of S which is not in S_3 . We set $u_4 = u_1^{a_3} u_3 - \lambda_3 u_2^{b_3}$, and continue in the same manner: $u_1^{a_4 - a_3} u_4 - \lambda_4 u_2^{b_4} = u_5, \dots, u_1^{a_i - a_{i-1}} u_i - \lambda_i u_2^{b_i} = u_{i+1}, \dots$ with the generators $\gamma_i = \nu(u_i) = (b_i, a_{i-1} - a_i)$ for $i \geq 4$. Finally we have:

$$S = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_i, \dots \rangle,$$

the initial forms of the u_i constitute a minimal system of generators of the graded K -algebra $\text{gr}_\nu R$, and the equations (setting $a_2 = 0$)

$$u_1^{a_i - a_{i-1}} u_i - \lambda_i u_2^{b_i} = u_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 3$$

above describe R_0 as a quotient of $K[(u_i)_{i \geq 1}]$; it is clear that from them we can reconstruct the value of u_3 as a function of u_1, u_2 by (infinite) elimination. The binomial equations defining $\text{gr}_\nu R = \text{gr}_\nu R_0$ as a quotient of $K[(U_i)_{i \geq 1}]$ are the

$$U_1^{a_i - a_{i-1}} U_i - \lambda_i U_2^{b_i} = 0, \quad i \geq 3,$$

showing that all the U_i for $i \geq 3$ are rationally dependent on U_1, U_2 . From our assumption on the growth of the ratios we see moreover that *no multiple of γ_i is in $S_{i-1} = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{i-1} \rangle$* . In fact γ_i is outside of the cone with vertex 0 generated by S_{i-1} in \mathbf{R}^2 .

6. A CRITERION FOR A SERIES \succeq TO BE TRANSCENDENTAL

In this section, we will use the following notation. Let K be a field, and Γ a totally ordered abelian group. Let $K((t^\Gamma))$ be the field of formal power series with a well ordered set of exponents in Γ , and coefficients in K . Let ν be the t -adic valuation of $K((t^\Gamma))$. Suppose that $R \subset K((t^\Gamma))$ is a local ring which is essentially of finite type over K (a localization of a finitely generated K -algebra). and that ν dominates R .

Let $d = \dim(R)$. Write $R = A_P$ where $A \subset R_\nu$ is of finite type over K , and $R = A_P$ where P is the center of ν on A .

By Noether's normalization theorem (Theorem 24, Section 7, Chapter VIII [16]), there exist $x_1, \dots, x_d \in A$, which are algebraically independent over K , such that A is a finite module over the polynomial ring $B = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. Thus there exist $b_1, \dots, b_r \in A$ for some finite r , such that $A = Bb_1 + \dots + Bb_r$.

Let $A[z]$ be a polynomial ring over A . For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define a finite dimensional K vector space D_n by

$$D_n = \{f \in A[z] \mid f = f_1 b_1 + \dots + f_r b_r \text{ where } f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_d, z] \text{ have total degree } \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 6.1. Suppose that $w \in K((t^\Gamma))$ has positive value and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the set of values

$$E_n = \{\nu(f(w)) \mid f \in D_n \text{ and } f(w) \neq 0\}$$

is finite.

Proof. For $\tau \in \Gamma_+$, let

$$C_\tau = \{f \in D_n \mid \nu(f(w)) \geq \tau\}.$$

C_τ is a K subspace of D_n . Since $C_{\tau_1} \subset C_{\tau_2}$ if $\tau_2 \leq \tau_1$, E_n must be a finite set. \square

Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $w \in K((t^\Gamma))$ has positive value. Let

$$\tau = \max\{\nu(f(w)) \mid f \in D_n \text{ and } f(w) \neq 0\}.$$

Choose $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $\lambda > \tau$ and $h \in K((t^\Gamma))$ such that $\nu(h) = \lambda$.

Suppose that $0 \neq f \in D_n$. Then $f(w+h) \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $0 \neq f \in D_n$. Let $m = \deg_z(f)$. We have $0 < m \leq n$ (the case $m = 0$ is trivial). Write

$$f = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$$

where $a_m \neq 0$ and each a_i has an expression

$$a_i = c_{i1} b_1 + \dots + c_{ir} b_r$$

where $c_{ij} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ for all i, j . Substituting $w+h$ for z , we have

$$f(w+h) = h^m d_m(w) + h^{m-1} d_{m-1}(w) + \dots + d_0(w)$$

where $d_i(z) \in D_n$ for all i and $d_m(z) = a_m$, so that $h^m d_m(w) \neq 0$.

Suppose that $i < j$, $h^i d_i(w) \neq 0$, $h^j d_j(w) \neq 0$ and $\nu(h^i d_i(w)) = \nu(h^j d_j(w))$. Then

$$i\lambda + \nu(d_i(w)) = j\lambda + \nu(d_j(w)),$$

which yields

$$(j-i)\lambda = \nu(d_i(w)) - \nu(d_j(w)).$$

But

$$\nu(d_i(w)) - \nu(d_j(w)) \leq \tau < \lambda \leq (j-i)\lambda,$$

a contradiction. Thus all nonzero terms $h^i d_i(w)$ of $f(w+h)$ have distinct values. Since at least one of these terms was shown to be non zero, $f(w+h)$ has finite value, so $f(w+h) \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 6.3. Suppose that K is a field, Γ is a totally ordered abelian group, $R \subset K((t^\Gamma))$ is a local ring which is essentially of finite type over K and which is dominated by the t -adic valuation ν of $K((t^\Gamma))$.

Suppose that $z_i \in K((t^\Gamma))$ are defined as follows:

Let

$$(6) \quad \tau_1 = \max\{\nu(f) \mid f \in D_1 \text{ and } f(0) \neq 0\}.$$

Choose $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma_+$ with $\alpha_1 > \tau_1$ and $h_1 \in K((t^\Gamma))$ such that $\nu(h_1) = \alpha_1$. Set $z_1 = h_1$.

Inductively define $\alpha_i \in \Gamma_+$, $h_i \in K((t^\Gamma))$ and $z_i = z_{i-1} + h_i$ with $\nu(h_i) = \alpha_i$ for $2 \leq i$ so that if

$$(7) \quad \tau_i = \max\{\nu(f(z_{i-1})) \mid f \in D_i \text{ and } f(z_{i-1}) \neq 0\},$$

then

$$\alpha_i > \tau_i.$$

Then $\underline{z} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i \in K((t^\Gamma))$ is transcendental over the quotient field L of R .

Proof. Since $\{\alpha_i\}$ is an increasing sequence in Γ with $\nu(h_j - h_i) = \alpha_{i+1}$ for $j > i$, we have that the limit $\underline{z} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i$ exists in $K((t^\Gamma))$.

Assume that \underline{z} is not transcendental over L . Then there exists a nonzero polynomial $g(z) \in A[z]$ such that $g(\underline{z}) = 0$. Let $m = \deg_{\underline{z}}(g) \geq 1$. Expand

$$g(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

with $a_i \in A$ for all i . Each a_i has an expansion

$$a_i = c_{i1} b_1 + \cdots + c_{ir} b_r$$

where $c_{ij} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ for all i, j . Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $n \geq \deg(c_{ij})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, and $n \geq m$.

By our construction, we have

$$z_n = z_{n-1} + h_n \text{ with } \nu(h_n) = \alpha_n > \tau_n.$$

By Lemma 6.2, $g(z_n) \neq 0$. In $K((t^\Gamma))$, we compute

$$g(\underline{z}) = g(z_n + \underline{z} - z_n) = g(z_n) + (\underline{z} - z_n)e$$

with $\nu(e) \geq 0$. We have

$$\nu(\underline{z} - z_n) = \nu(h_{n+1}) = \alpha_{n+1} > \tau_{n+1} \geq \nu(g(z_n)).$$

Thus $\nu(g(\underline{z})) \leq \tau_{n+1} < \infty$, so that $g(\underline{z}) \neq 0$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 6.4. Suppose that K is a field, Γ is a totally ordered abelian group, $R \subset K((t^\Gamma))$ is a local ring which is essentially of finite type over K and which is dominated by the t -adic valuation ν of $K((t^\Gamma))$. Then there exists $\underline{z} \in K((t^\Gamma))$ such that \underline{z} is transcendental over the quotient field of R .

Remark 6.5. The conclusions of the theorem may fail if R is Noetherian, but not essentially of finite type over K . A simple example is $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}$ and $R = K[[t]]$, since $K((t^\Gamma))$ is the quotient field of R .

7. AN EXAMPLE WHERE ALL $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(\gamma_1)}\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}/\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}^+$ ARE NOT FINITELY GENERATED $\text{gr}_{\bar{\nu}}R/P$ MODULES

Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let $K(x, y)$ be a two dimensional rational function field over K . Let $A = K[x, y]_{(x, y)}$. In Example 3 of Chapter VI, Section 18 of [16] a construction is given of a valuation $\bar{\nu}$ of $K(x, y)$ which dominates A , and such that the value group of $\bar{\nu}$ is \mathbf{Q} . There is an embedding $K(x, y) \subset K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ of K algebras, where $K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ is the formal power series field with a well ordered set of exponents in \mathbf{Q} , and coefficients in K , by Theorem 6 of [8], since K is algebraically closed and \mathbf{Q} is divisible.

Let \mathbf{Q}_+ denote the positive rational numbers.

Let

$$(8) \quad F_0 = \{\bar{\nu}(f) \mid f \in A \text{ and } f \neq 0\}$$

be the semigroup of the valuation $\bar{\nu}$ on A .

We will use the criterion of Theorem 6.3 to construct a limit $\underline{z} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i$ in $K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ which is transcendental over the quotient field of A .

Let z be a transcendental element over $K[x, y]$ and let

$$D_i = \{f \in K[x, y, z] \mid \text{the total degree of } f \text{ is } \leq i\}.$$

In our construction, we inductively define $z_i \in K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$. Then for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we may define $F_0 = \bar{\nu}(A \setminus \{0\})$ modules M_i^n by

$$M_i^n = \{\nu(a_0 + a_1 z_i + \cdots + a_n z_i^n) \mid a_0, \dots, a_n \in K[x, y]\}.$$

Let

$$A_i = A[z_i]_{(x, y, z_i)}.$$

The local ring A_i is dominated by $\bar{\nu}$, so the semigroup Γ_i of $\bar{\nu}$ on A_i is topologically discrete. It follows that there are arbitrarily large elements of \mathbf{Q}_+ which are not in Γ_i .

We first choose $\lambda_1 \in \mathbf{Q}_+$ such that $\lambda_1 \notin F_0$ and $\lambda_1 > \tau_1$ where τ_1 is defined by (6). Set $\alpha_1 = \lambda_1$. Choose $f_1, g_1 \in K[x, y]$ such that

$$\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{f_1}{g_1}\right) = \alpha_1.$$

We then inductively construct $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{Q}_+$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{Q}_+$, $f_i, g_i \in K[x, y]$ and $\tau_i \in \mathbf{Q}_+$ such that $\lambda_i \notin \Gamma_{i-1}$, τ_i is defined by (7), and

$$\lambda_i > \max\{\lambda_{i-1} + \bar{\nu}(g_1 \cdots g_{i-1}), \tau_i + \bar{\nu}(g_1 \cdots g_{i-1})\}.$$

We define

$$\alpha_i = \lambda_i - \bar{\nu}(g_1 \cdots g_{i-1})$$

and choose $f_i, g_i \in K[x, y]$ so that

$$\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{f_i}{g_i}\right) = \alpha_i.$$

Let

$$z_i = z_{i-1} + \frac{f_i}{g_i}.$$

The resulting series $\underline{z} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i$ is transcendental over $K(x, y)$ by Theorem 6.3, since $\alpha_i > \tau_i$ for all $i > 1$.

Lemma 7.1. With the above notation, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define a F_0 module

$$T^n = \{\bar{\nu}(a_0 + a_1\bar{z} + \cdots + a_n\bar{z}^n) \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in K[x, y]\}.$$

Then for all $n > 0$, T_i^n is not finitely generated as a F_0 module.

Let $B = A[\bar{z}]_{(x, y, \bar{z})}$. $B \subset K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ is a three dimensional local ring which is dominated by the t -adic valuation $\bar{\nu}$ of $K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$. Let $T^\infty = \bar{\nu}(B \setminus \{0\})$ be the semigroup of the valuation $\bar{\nu}$ on B . Then T^∞ is not a finitely generated F_0 module.

Proof. Suppose that $n \geq 1$. We will show that T^n is not finitely generated as a F_0 module.

We compute

$$\bar{\nu}(\bar{z}) = \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{f_1}{g_1}\right) = \lambda_1,$$

and for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\nu}(g_1 \cdots g_{i-1}\bar{z} - (f_1g_2 \cdots g_{i-1} + f_2g_1g_3 \cdots g_{i-1} + \cdots + f_{i-1}g_1 \cdots g_{i-2})) \\ &= \bar{\nu}\left(g_1 \cdots g_{i-1} \frac{f_i}{g_i}\right) \\ &= \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{f_i}{g_i}\right) + \bar{\nu}(g_1 \cdots g_{i-1}) \\ &= \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda_i \in T^n$ for all i .

For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define a F_0 module

$$T^n = \{\bar{\nu}(a_0 + a_1\bar{z} + \cdots + a_n\bar{z}^n) \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in K[x, y]\}.$$

M_i^n is a subset of \mathbf{Q} for all i . We compare the intersections of the M_i^n with various intervals $[0, \sigma)$ in \mathbf{Q} . Since $\bar{\nu}(z_1) = \alpha_1$, we have that

$$M_1^n \cap [0, \alpha_1) = F_0 \cap [0, \alpha_1),$$

and since

$$z_i = z_{i-1} + \frac{f_i}{g_i}$$

with

$$\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{f_i}{g_i}\right) = \alpha_i,$$

we have that

$$M_i^n \cap [0, \alpha_i) = M_{i-1}^n \cap [0, \alpha_i)$$

for all $i \geq 2$.

We further have that

$$(9) \quad T^n \cap [0, \alpha_i) = M_i^n \cap [0, \alpha_i)$$

for $i \geq 1$.

Suppose that $n \geq 1$ and T^n is a finitely generated F_0 module. We will derive a contradiction. With this assumption, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_m \in T^n$ such that every element $v \in T^n$ has an expression $v = y + x_j$ for some $y \in F_0$, and for some x_j with $1 \leq j \leq m$.

There exists a positive integer l such that $\nu(x_j) < \alpha_l$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$. Thus $x_1, \dots, x_m \in M_l^n$ by (9). It follows that $T^n \subset M_l^n$ since M_l^n is a F_0 module. But $\lambda_{n+1} \notin M_l^n$ by our construction, as $M_l^n \subset \Gamma_l$, which gives a contradiction, since we have shown that $\lambda_{l+1} \in T^n$.

The proof that $T^\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty T^n$ is not a finitely generated F_0 module is similar. \square

Proposition 7.2. Suppose that K is an algebraically closed field, and $K(x, y, u, v)$ is a rational function field in 4 variables. Then there exists a rank 2 valuation $\nu = \nu_1 \circ \bar{\nu}$ of $K[x, y, u, v]$ with value group $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Q}$ with the Lex order, which dominates the regular local ring

$$R = K[x, y, u, v]_{(x, y, u, v)},$$

with $R/P \cong K[x, y]_{(x, y)}$ where P is the center of ν_1 on R , such that the associated graded module

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(n)} \mathcal{P}_n / \mathcal{P}_n^+$$

is not a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\nu}} R/P$ module for all positive integers n .

Proof. Since the \underline{z} we have just constructed is transcendental over $K(x, y)$, the association $z \rightarrow \underline{z}$ defines an embedding of K algebras $K(x, y, z) \rightarrow K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ which extends our embedding $K(x, y) \rightarrow K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$. We identify $\bar{\nu}$ with the induced valuation on $K(x, y, z)$, which by our construction has value group \mathbf{Q} and residue field K .

Let R be the localization

$$R = K[x, y, u, v]_{(x, y, u, v)}$$

of a polynomial ring in four variables. Let ν_1 be the (u, v) -adic valuation of R . The valuation ring of the discrete, rank 1 valuation ν_1 is

$$R_{\nu_1} = K \left[x, y, u, \frac{v}{u} \right]_{(u)}.$$

The residue field of R_{ν_1} is the rational function field in three variables

$$R_{\nu_1}/m_{\nu_1} = K(x, y, z)$$

where $z = \frac{v}{u}$. Let ν be the composite valuation $\nu_1 \circ \bar{\nu}$ on $K(x, y, u, v)$.

For $i \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$F_i = \{\nu(f) \mid f \in R \text{ and } \nu_1(f) = i\}.$$

F_0 is the semigroup $F_0 = \bar{\nu}(R/P \setminus \{0\})$, and F_i are F_0 modules for all i .

We have that $m_{\nu_1} \cap R = (u, v)$, so that $F_0 = \Gamma_0$, the semigroup of (8). From our construction of $\bar{\nu}$, we have that F_n is isomorphic to T^n as a Γ_0 module. Thus for all $n \geq 1$, F_n is not finitely generated as a F_0 module.

By Proposition 1.1,

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(n)} \mathcal{P}_n / \mathcal{P}_n^+$$

is not a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\nu}} R/P$ module for all positive integers n . □

Remark 7.3. In the example of Proposition 7.2, the residue field R_{ν_1}/m_{ν_1} is transcendental over the quotient field of R/P , a fact which is used in the construction. In Proposition 8.4 of the following section, an example is given where R_{ν_1}/m_{ν_1} is equal to the quotient field of R/P .

Remark 7.4. We can easily construct a series $\underline{z} \in K((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ such that the modules T^n and T^∞ of the conclusions of Lemma 7.1 are all finitely generated Γ_0 modules, and thus the modules

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(n)} \mathcal{P}_n / \mathcal{P}_n^+$$

are finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\nu}} R/P$ module for all positive integers n . To make the construction, just take $\underline{z} \in K[[x, y]]$ to be any transcendental series.

8. AN EXAMPLE WITH NO RESIDUE FIELD EXTENSION

Suppose that K is an algebraically closed field, and $A = K[x, y]_{(x, y)}$. We will define a valuation $\bar{\nu}$ on $L = K(x, y)$ which dominates A , with value group $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \mathbf{Z}$.

Define $\bar{\beta}_0 = 1$ and $\bar{\beta}_{i+1} = 2\bar{\beta}_i + \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}$ for $i \geq 0$. We have

$$\bar{\beta}_i = \frac{1}{3}(2^{i+2} - \frac{1}{2^i})$$

for $i \geq 0$, and

$$2\bar{\beta}_i = \bar{\beta}_{i-1} + 2^{i+1}\bar{\beta}_0$$

for $i \geq 1$.

Define groups

$$\Gamma_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \mathbf{Z}\bar{\beta}_j = \frac{1}{2^i} \mathbf{Z}$$

for $i \geq 0$.

For $i \geq 1$, let

$$x_i = \frac{2^{i+1}\bar{\beta}_{i-1} + 1}{2^{i-1}},$$

and let $m_i = 2$.

Since $2^{i+1}\bar{\beta}_{i-1}$ is an even integer for all i , x_i has order $m_i = 2$ in $\Gamma_{i-1}/m_i\Gamma_{i-1}$ and $\bar{\beta}_i = \frac{x_i}{m_i}$.

By our construction, for $i \geq 1$, $\bar{\beta}_{i+1} > m_i\bar{\beta}_i$. By the irreducibility criterion of [3], Remark 7.17 [5], or Theorem 2.22 [6], there exists a valuation $\bar{\nu}$ of L dominating A and a (minimal) generating sequence $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_i, \dots$ for $\bar{\nu}$ in $K[x, y]$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= x \\ P_1 &= y \\ P_2 &= y^2 - x^5 \\ P_3 &= P_2^2 - x^8 y \\ &\vdots \\ P_{i+1} &= P_i^2 - P_0^{2^{i+1}} P_{i-1} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

with $\bar{\beta}_i = \bar{\nu}(P_i)$ for all i . The semigroup M_0 of $\bar{\nu}$ on A is

$$M_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{N}\bar{\beta}_i.$$

Let $z = \frac{y}{x} \in L$, and define for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$W_n = \{a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n \mid a_0, \dots, a_n \in K[x, y]\}.$$

Define M_0 modules M_n by

$$M_n = \{\bar{\nu}(f) \mid 0 \neq f \in W_n\}.$$

Lemma 8.1. For all $i \geq 0$. we have an expression $P_i = x^i h_i$ with $h_i \in W_i$.

Proof. The statement is clear for $i = 0$ and $i = 1$. Suppose, by induction, that the statement is true for $j \leq i$, so that $P_j = x^j h_j$ with $h_j \in W_j$ for $j \leq i$. Write

$$P_{i+1} = P_i^2 - P_0^{2^{i+1}} P_{i-1}.$$

$$P_i^2 = x^{i+1} \left[\left(\frac{P_i}{x^i} \right) \left(\frac{P_i}{x^i} \right) x^{i-1} \right]$$

with

$$\left(\frac{P_i}{x^i} \right) \left(\frac{P_i}{x^i} \right) x^{i-1} \in W_{2i-(i-1)} = W_{i+1}.$$

Further,

$$P_0^{2^{i+1}} P_{i-1} = x^{2^{i+1}} x^{i-1} h_{i-1} \in x^{i+1} W_{i-1} \subset x^{i+1} W_{i+1}.$$

Thus $P_{i+1} = x^{i+1} h_{i+1}$ with $h_{i+1} \in W_{i+1}$. □

For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$U_n = \{ \lambda \in M_n \mid \lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} l_j \bar{\beta}_j \text{ for some } l_i \in \mathbf{Z} \}.$$

Lemma 8.2. For $n \geq 1$,

$$M_n = U_n \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \geq n} ((\bar{\beta}_j - n) + M_0) \right).$$

Proof. $\frac{P_j}{x^j} \in W_j$ for all $j \geq 0$ implies

$$\frac{P_j}{x^n} = \left(\frac{P_j}{x^j} \right) x^{j-n} \in W_n$$

for $j \geq n$. Thus $\bar{\beta}_j - n \in M_n$ for $j \geq n$.

Now suppose that $\lambda \in M_n$. $\lambda = \bar{\nu}(a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n)$ for some $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K[x, y]$. Set $\tau = \bar{\nu}(a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} y + \cdots + a_n y^n) \in M_0$. We have $\lambda = \tau - n$. $\tau = \sum l_j \bar{\beta}_j = \bar{\nu}(\prod P_j^{l_j})$ for some $l_j \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose $l_k \neq 0$ for some $k \geq n$. Then

$$\lambda = \bar{\nu} \left(\left(\prod_{j \neq k} P_j^{l_j} \right) P_k^{l_k-1} \frac{P_k}{x^n} \right) = (\bar{\beta}_k - n) + \bar{\nu} \left(\left(\prod_{j \neq k} P_j^{l_j} \right) P_k^{l_k-1} \right).$$

Now suppose that $l_k = 0$ for $k < n$. Then

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} l_j \bar{\beta}_j + (l_0 - n) \bar{\beta}_0 \in U_n.$$

□

Lemma 8.3. Suppose that $n \geq 1$. Then M_n is not a finitely generated M_0 module.

Let $B = K[x, \frac{y}{x}]_{(x, \frac{y}{x})}$. B is a regular local ring which birational dominates $A = K[x, y]_{(x, y)}$. Let $M_\infty = \bar{\nu}(B \setminus \{0\})$. Then M_∞ is not a finitely generated module over $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\nu}(A \setminus \{0\})$.

Proof. For $i \geq 0$, define Ψ_i to be the M_0 module generated by U_n and $\{\bar{\beta}_j - n \mid i \geq j \geq n\}$. For $i \geq n$ we have

$$\Psi_i = U_n \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \geq j \geq n} ((\bar{\beta}_j - n) + M_0) \right) \subset \frac{1}{2^i} \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus $\bar{\beta}_{i+1} - 1 \notin \Psi_i$ for $i \geq n$.

We will now show that M_n is not a finitely generated M_0 module.

Suppose that M_n is finitely generated as an M_0 module. Then M_n is generated by a set $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s$, where

$$a_i = (\bar{\beta}_{\sigma(i)} - n) + \lambda_i$$

with $\sigma(i) \geq n$ and $\lambda_i \in M_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $b_i \in U_n$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Let $m = \max\{\sigma(i)\}$. Then $M_1 \subset \Psi_m$, which is impossible since $\bar{\beta}_{m+1} - n \notin \Psi_m$.

The proof that M_∞ is not a finitely generated Γ_0 module is similar. \square

Proposition 8.4. Suppose that K is an algebraically closed field, and $K(x, y, u, v)$ is a rational function field in 4 variables.

Suppose that Γ is a totally ordered Abelian group and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is such that 1 and α are rationally independent and $1 < \alpha$.

Then there exists a rank 2 valuation $\nu = \nu_1 \circ \bar{\nu}$ of $K(x, y, u, v)$ with value group

$$(\mathbf{Z} + \alpha\mathbf{Z}) \times \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \mathbf{Z} \right)$$

in the Lex order, which dominates the regular local ring

$$R = K[x, y, u, v]_{(x, y, u, v)}$$

such that

- (1) $R_{\nu_1}/m_{\nu_1} \cong R/P \cong K[x, y]_{(x, y)}$ where P is the center of ν_1 on R .
- (2) The associated graded module

$$\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(n)} \mathcal{P}_n / \mathcal{P}_n^+$$

is not a finitely generated $\text{gr}_{\bar{\nu}} R/P$ module for $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

- (3) The associated graded module

$$\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}(n\alpha)} \mathcal{P}_{n\alpha} / \mathcal{P}_{n\alpha}^+$$

is a finitely generated $\text{gr}_{\bar{\nu}} R/P$ module for $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof. We use the notation developed earlier in this section. Define a valuation ν_1 on the rational function field $L(u, v)$ in 2 variables by the embedding of L algebras

$$L(u, v) \rightarrow L((t^\Gamma))$$

induced by

$$u \mapsto t, v \mapsto v(t) = \frac{y}{x}t + t^\alpha.$$

Let $\nu = \nu_1 \circ \bar{\nu}$ be the composite valuation on $K(x, y, u, v)$. ν dominates $R = K[x, y, u, v]_{(x, y, u, v)}$. The center of ν_1 on R is the prime ideal $P = (u, v)$. We have $L = (R/P)_P = R_{\nu_1}/m_{\nu_1}$ and $K = R_\nu/m_\nu$.

For $i \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$F_i = \{\nu(f) \mid f \in R \text{ and } \nu_1(f) = i\}.$$

Suppose that $f \in K[x, y, u, v]$. Expand

$$f = \sum a_{ij} u^i v^j$$

with $a_{ij} \in K[x, y]$. We have

$$f(t, v(t)) = a_{00} + (a_{10} + a_{01} \frac{y}{x})t + \text{higher order terms in } t.$$

We see that $\nu_1(f) = 0$ if and only if $a_{00} \neq 0$. Thus $F_0 \cong M_0$ as semigroups.

$\nu_1(f) = 1$ if and only if $a_{00} = 0$ and $a_{10} + a_{01} \frac{y}{x} \neq 0$. Thus $F_1 \cong M_1$ as F_0 modules, so that F_1 is not finitely generated as an F_0 module.

To see that $F_n \cong M_n$ as an F_0 module for all $n \geq 0$, we expand

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, v(t)) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=k} a_{ij} t^i v(t)^j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \varphi_{jk} t^{(k-j)+j\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_{jk} = \sum_{i=j}^k a_{k-i,i} \binom{i}{j} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{i-j}.$$

We have $(k_1 - j_1) + j_1\alpha = (k_2 - j_2) + j_2\alpha$ implies $j_1 = j_2$ and $k_1 = k_2$. Thus

$$\nu_1(f) = \min\{(k - j) + j\alpha \mid \varphi_{jk} \neq 0\}.$$

We further have for fixed k , $j_1 < j_2$ implies

$$(10) \quad (k - j_1) + j_1\alpha < (k - j_2) + j_2\alpha.$$

Suppose that $\nu_1(f) = n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$f(t, v(t)) = \varphi_{0n} t^n + \text{higher order terms in } t$$

with

$$\varphi_{0n} = a_{0n} + a_{n-1,1} \frac{y}{x} + \cdots + a_{0n} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^n \neq 0.$$

Further, by (10), we see that for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a_{n0}, a_{n-1,1}, \dots, a_{0n} \in K[x, y]$,

$$\nu_1(a_{n0} u^n + a_{n-1,1} u^{n-1} v + \cdots + a_{0n} v^n) = n$$

if and only if

$$a_{n0} + a_{n-1,1} \frac{y}{x} + \cdots + a_{0n} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^n \neq 0.$$

Thus for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$F_n \cong \{\bar{v}(h) \mid h \in W_n \text{ and } h \neq 0\}$$

which is isomorphic to M_n as an M_0 module. Since M_n is not finitely generated as an M_0 module, we obtain (2) of the conclusions of the Proposition from Proposition 1.1.

Let us now consider the polynomial

$$\Phi_{jk}(W) = \sum_{i=j}^k a_{k-i,i} \binom{i}{j} W^{i-j},$$

and remark that we have the equalities

$$\frac{\partial^j \Phi_{0k}(W)}{\partial W^j} = j! \Phi_{jk}(W).$$

In order for the series $f(t, v(t))$ to be of order $n\alpha$, all the φ_{jk} must be zero for $(k-j) + j\alpha < n\alpha$, while $\varphi_{nn} = a_{0n}$ must be non zero. In particular, φ_{jn} must be zero for $j < n$.

In view of the equalities we have just seen, the n conditions $\varphi_{jn} = 0$, $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ are equivalent to the fact that $\frac{y}{x}$ is a root of order n of the polynomial $\Phi_{0n}(W)$, so that $\Phi_{0n}(W) = a_{0n}(W - \frac{y}{x})^n$. From this it follows that the $a_{n-j,j}$ for $j < n$ are determined and the only condition on a_{0n} is that x^n divides a_{0n} . The elements of $F_{n\alpha}$ coincide with the values of ν on $K[x, y]$ translated by n , so that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we have $F_{n\alpha} = n + F_0 \cong F_0$. Thus (3) of the conclusions of the Proposition follows from Proposition 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abhyankar *Ramification theoretic methods in algebraic geometry*, Annals of Math. Studies No. 43, Princeton University Press 1959.
- [2] A. Campillo *Algebroid curves in positive characteristic*, Springer LNM No. 813, Springer-Verlag 1980.
- [3] V. Cossart, G. Moreno-Socias *Racines approchées, suites génératrices, suffisance des jets*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 14 (2005) 353-394.
- [4] V. Cossart, C. Galindo, O. Piltant *Un exemple effectif de gradué non noethérien associé à une valuation divisorielle* Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 50 1 105-112, (2000).
- [5] S. D. Cutkosky and O. Piltant *Ramification of valuations*, Advances in Math. 183 (2004) 1-79.
- [6] C. Favre and M. Jonsson *The valuative tree*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1853, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2004.
- [7] P. González Pérez *Toric embedded resolutions of quasi-ordinary hypersurface singularities* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 53 (2003), no. 6, 1819–1881.
- [8] I. Kaplansky, *Maximal fields with valuations I*. Duke Math. J., 9:303–321 (1942).
- [9] I. Kaplansky, *Maximal fields with valuations II*. Duke Math. J., 12:243–248 (1945).
- [10] M. Nagata, *Lectures on the Fourteen Problem of Hilbert*, Notes by M. Pavaman Murthy. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1965.
- [11] B.H. Neumann, *On ordered division rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 66 (1949), 202-252.
- [12] L. Rédei, *The theory of finitely generated commutative semigroups*, Intern. Series of monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 82, Pergamon Press, 1965.
- [13] P. Ribenboim, *Fields, algebraically closed and others*, Manuscripta math., 75, (1992), 115-150.
- [14] B. Teissier, *Valuations, deformations, and toric geometry*, Proceedings of the Saskatoon Conference and Workshop on valuation theory (second volume), F-V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, editors, Fields Institute Communications, **33**, 2003, 361-459.
- [15] O. Zariski, *The problem of moduli for plane branches*, AMS University Lectures series (2006).
- [16] O. Zariski, P. Samuel *Commutative Algebra, Vol. II*, Springer-Verlag (1960).

Dale Cutkosky,
202 Mathematical Sciences Bldg,
University of Missouri,
Columbia, MO 65211 USA
cutkoskys@missouri.edu

Bernard Teissier,
Institut Mathématique de Jussieu
UMR 7586 du CNRS,
175 Rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France
teissier@math.jussieu.fr